

Destroying Women, Poisoning Breast Milk, Murdering Babies; and Hiding the Truth

Conflicted Wire Services; Press Release Distributor, Spotify, BBC, Censored, Attacked Us --for our Pfizer Reports' Info re mRNA Vaccine Destruction of Pregnant Women, Fetuses, Babies



Dr Naomi Wolf
Sep 18

484

312



The worst that can happen to the human species, is happening.

The [War Room/DailyClout's Pfizer Documents Research Volunteers](#) — a group of medical and scientific experts who have selflessly stepped up to analyze the tens of thousands of formerly internal Pfizer documents released under court order subsequent to a lawsuit by Aaron Siri's firm, Siri & Glimstad, and a [FOIA by Public Health and Medical Professionals for Transparency](#) — have now fully demonstrated that Pfizer's mRNA vaccines target human reproduction in comprehensive, likely irreversible ways.

Outspoken with Dr Naomi Wolf is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

Subscribe

Our 3,250 research volunteers, in 39 fully cited reports to date, have documented evidence of what I've been calling "360 degrees of harm" to reproduction.

The [Pfizer documents](#) reveal monstrous damage to menstruation, harm to the testes and epididymis, harms to the penis, horrible harm to the ova and ovaries, mechanisms for compromising the placenta, contamination of amniotic fluid, and damage to newborns. They reveal that four women's breast milk turned blue-green. They reveal injuries to at

least one newborn that extend to death (or murder) from ingesting tainted breast milk. They reveal horrific levels of miscarriages. They reveal that Pfizer defined “exposure” to the vaccine as including sexual intercourse, especially at conception. They reveal a weird focus on mammalian sexual and reproductive issues.

All of these horrors make extended appearances in the Pfizer documents, which were never expected to see the light of day. <https://dailyclout.io/category/campaigns/pfizer-documents-analysis/>

Soon humanity will realize that the worst thing ever to happen to it, has taken place.

Soon humanity will realize that the miscarriages and the drops in birth rate worldwide documented by Igor Chudov; the drop in life expectancy; the neonatal deaths; the ruination of eggs and ovaries; were done to them with knowledge aforethought, and that, in many cases relating to fertility, the means for healing the bodies of women is not clear.

Every day my inbox seethes with women asking, “Can the lipid nanoparticles be removed from the ovaries? My daughter miscarried right after receiving the Pfizer vaccine...” “My daughter-in-law was vaccinated while pregnant. What can be done for her?”

But mainstream news outlets, let alone our government agencies, are not helping women with this crisis. Rather, they are seeking to gaslight us about it, and to kill off reputationally or to censor those of us bringing this evidence to the light of day.

Here is just one example:

In one of the 39 War Room/DailyClout reports about the staggering harms and upside-down science in the Pfizer documents — a volunteer recently made a mistake.

In a post on August 12, 2022, the volunteer miscounted 11 miscarriages or spontaneous abortions of women who had been injected in Pfizer’s clinical trial, as 22. The miscarriages/spontaneous abortions were listed in two separate tables in the 3,645-page Pfizer document; but the subject codes revealed that some were duplicates.

https://pdata0916.s3.us-east-2.amazonaws.com/pdocs/070122/125742_S1_M5_5351_c4591001-interim-mth6-adverse-

[events.zip](#) We learned of this error on August 17 due to [work by Naked Emperor](#), on his Substack, and [by Phil Kerpen, on Twitter](#). Of course, we immediately corrected the error. I also publicly noted the correction on every podcast on which I appeared.

Both the Associated Press and Reuters, two of the biggest newswires on the planet, went after us.

Are those newswires who so aggressively assailed the credibility of a team of highly credentialed researchers doing a public service — themselves un-conflicted?

AP — or should I say, “AP/Xinhua” — pursued us with an article falsely exonerating Pfizer — one that appeared in virtually every local newspaper in the country:

<https://apnews.com/article/fact-check-pfizer-covid-vaccine-trial-44-percent-miscarriage-545842340316>.

The Associated Press is conflicted in covering this story, via its direct financial ties to Xinhua News Agency, the official state news agency of the People's Republic of China. Xinhua is also a ministry-level institution subordinate to the State Council of the Chinese Communist Party (“CCP”), and is the highest-ranking state media organization in China.

AP has a “memorandum of cooperation” (MOC) with [Xinhua](#), which is an entity that Reporters without Borders calls “The World’s Biggest Propaganda Machine.” Members of Congress have asked AP to release documentation of the terms of this MOC.

<https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2018/12/24/congress-demands-answers-aps-relationship-with-chinese-state-media/>. As *The Washington Post*’s op-ed put it in 2018:

“China’s state-run media companies are rapidly expanding their integration with Western news outlets, as part of Beijing’s worldwide foreign influence operations campaign. In Washington, lawmakers in both parties are calling out such arrangements and demanding U.S. media companies make sure they don’t become tools of Chinese government propaganda.” The goal of Xinhua’s and the CCP’s efforts to infiltrate Western news organizations is to suspend or weaken Western criticism of — the CCP.

Members of Congress did not get an answer regarding this cozy partnership: “I write today to assure you that AP’s business relationship with Xinhua is purely commercial in nature”, Gary Pruitt, then-President and CEO of AP, assured concerned US Senators in

2019. Oh well then...nothing to see here: <https://blog.ap.org/announcements/ap-response-to-questions-about-recent-xinhua-meeting>.

So; who had been criticizing the CCP and its partnership with Pfizer/BioNTech?

Yours truly.

Elsewhere in this Substack, I broke the story of how the Pfizer injections are in fact produced with a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between BioNTech and Fosun Pharmaceuticals, a CCP-run pharmaceutical company based in Shanghai, China. I explained how Fosun Pharmaceuticals had opened “Fosun Pharma US” with manufacturing branches in Boston, MA, and Princeton, NJ, such that when you have a vaccine this year, 2022, you may well be injected with material or via IP overseen by the CCP. <https://fosunpharmausa.com/>

I also broke the story of how the BioNTech SEC filing for 2021 counts as being 100% complete, a “tech transfer” to “China”: [from “Facing the Beast”, via Outspoken, by Dr. Naomi Wolf]: “Pfizer injections are in fact produced with a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between BioNTech and Fosun Pharmaceutical”

In their hit piece on us, the AP also somehow managed to let the FDA — which saw and signed off on every one of the tens of thousands of documents in the Pfizer release — get away with saying nothing at all.

Yes, you read that right; The Associated Press, that global powerhouse, could not get a US taxpayer-funded Federal Agency, one tasked with protecting the safety and health of American drugs and food, *to return its calls*:



AP U.S. News World News Politics Sports Entertainment Business Technology Health Science

  

 Click to copy

RELATED TOPICS

Fact-checking

A Pfizer spokesperson said the company doesn't comment on claims on social media but noted in a statement that its phase 3 clinical study included more than 44,000 people, half of which were women, and that miscarriages were not reported as a vaccine side effect.

The FDA did not return a request for comment.

This is especially implausible because these documents are in FDA's legal custody: it is the FDA that had asked the court to delay the release of the Pfizer documents for 75 years. Many of the Pfizer documents have the words "FDA CONFIDENTIAL" at the bottom margin.

AP also let Pfizer claim, unchallenged, that a 2020 Phase 3 clinical study of "more than 44,000 people," half of whom were women, showed, according to Pfizer, that "miscarriages were not reported as a vaccine side effect."

First, the study did not in fact have "more than 44,000 people" :

<https://www.pfizer.com/news/press-release/press-release-detail/pfizer-and-biontech-announce-publication-results-landmark>.

Second, the AP did not link to or appear to check this study, which was reported in the *New England Journal of Medicine*. Had they done so, they would have had to inform readers that pregnant women *had been excluded*: "This report does not address the prevention of Covid-19 in other populations, such as younger adolescents, children, and pregnant women. Safety and immune response data from this trial after immunization of adolescents 12 to 15 years of age will be reported subsequently, and additional studies are planned to evaluate BNT162b2 in pregnant women, children younger than 12 years, and those in special risk groups, such as immunocompromised persons."

So if you exclude pregnant women, of course "miscarriages were not reported as a vaccine side effect".

The AP also linked to a [Mayo Clinic website post](#) from 2021 (the year that vaccinated moms started to miscarry at scale) to make the case that there was nothing unusual about the miscarriage rates in the Pfizer documents. The Mayo Clinic site claimed that the "normal" miscarriage rate is between 10 and 20 percent.

But this assertion also has problems. The site states that "Miscarriage is the spontaneous loss of a pregnancy before the 20th week. About 10 to 20 percent of known pregnancies end in miscarriage." But the site does not mention that "most miscarriages occur before the 12th week of pregnancy" - until one hunts down the section entitled "Symptoms".

The MedicalNewsToday site notes that miscarriage rates can be as high as 25% *in the first trimester*, when 80 percent of the pregnancies that miscarry, end. The University of California at Davis Health notes the second trimester “normal” miscarriage rate is about two to three per cent up to 20 weeks and then drops to less than 0.5% once about 20 weeks pregnancy is reached. In the third trimester, though, fetal demise is rare; and it is not called a miscarriage but, rather, is classified as a “stillbirth.”

A study published in 2018 in *Human Reproduction* 2018 Apr 1;33(4):728-735. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29490045/>, “Biomarkers of Preconception Stress and the Incidence of Pregnancy Loss,” also noted that a first trimester pregnancy loss is 28%, but that only .5 percent of losses take place in the second trimester.

In other words, yes, approximately 25% of pregnancies do end in miscarriage in the first trimester. *But it is not the case that 10 to 20 percent of second or third trimester pregnancies end in miscarriage or pregnancy loss.* So the Mayo Clinic’s assurances — that make it sound as if one woman in ten to one woman in five might normally lose her baby in the first or second trimester or later — the assurances cited by AP, are gravely misleading.

But now, other news sites and blogs, and other commentators who wish to downplay or “debunk” the high rates of miscarriage/pregnancy loss for babies of vaccinated moms-to-be that we and many others have found in the Pfizer documents and VAERS — are reiterating the misleading “new normal” of babies dying in utero, claimed by the AP.

This gestational age question really matters if you are a woman. It is sad to miscarry in the first trimester, when many women do not even know that they are pregnant; but a miscarriage in the first trimester, sorrowful as it may well be, can seem like an unexpected period.

But to tell women that it is normal for up to one in five of them to miscarry in the second or even third trimester is something else entirely. A dead third-trimester baby can mean unspeakable trauma, an emergency hospital visit, actual labor, and the need for the delivery of the baby’s remains. It can mean danger from a partially delivered placenta or an incompletely retrieved fetus — all the dangers, indeed, of childbirth, but with monumental grief thrown in.

So no, it is not true that it is normal that one in ten to one in five fetuses die at any point in a pregnancy. AP is thus misleadingly normalizing fetal death and even stillbirths, the way cartoons are now normalizing child myocarditis.

Reuters also went after us, attacking an error that was by then somewhat metaphysical, as it had been corrected for at least five days: <https://www.reuters.com/article/factcheck-pfizer-miscarriage/fact-check-incorrect-44-miscarriage-figure-linked-to-pfizer-covid-vaccine-in-social-media-posts-is-based-on-miscalculation-idUSL1N3010NY>.

I gave the Reuters reporter the same quote I had given AP: while we had corrected the erroneous 44% miscarriage rate, I pointed out, the miscarriage rates elsewhere in the Pfizer documents were *even higher*. I added to my quote for Reuters, [Dr Pierre Kory's Substack analyzing a Pfizer document](#), in which he too had reached a conclusion that 85% of the pregnancies had ended in miscarriage.

The [War Room/DailyClout Team 5](#), working with Linnea Wahl, had shown in May of 2022 that there was a 78% miscarriage rate in one section of the Pfizer documents. [https://dailyclout.io/the-facts-about-pfizer-mrna-vaccine-risks-to-unborn-babies/Dr. Pierre Kory](https://dailyclout.io/the-facts-about-pfizer-mrna-vaccine-risks-to-unborn-babies/Dr.Pierre.Kory), as noted above, had examined that same section, and found a miscarriage rate of over 85 %: <https://trib247.com/articles/dr-pierre-kory-massive-miscarriage-rates-among-vaxxed-women-buried-in-pfizer-documents>. Dr. Joseph Mercola confirmed the signal of high miscarriage rates for vaccinated moms in the Pfizer documents: he found a miscarriage and neonatal death rate of 87.5%: <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/covid-vaccine-pfizer-pregnant-women-cola/>.

But like AP, Reuters completely ignored my full quote, as well as ignoring WarRoom/DailyClout's Team 5's, Dr Kory's, and Dr Mercola's, independent findings of devastating miscarriage rates in the Pfizer documents.

Reuters, for its part, is also grossly conflicted; via its direct ties, incredibly enough, through James Smith: this gentleman was, until 2020, [the President and CEO of Reuters](#): "President and Chief Executive Officer of Thomson Reuters, a provider of intelligent information for businesses and professionals from 2012 through March 2020, and its Chief Operating Officer from September 2011 to December 2011, and Chief Executive Officer, Thomson Reuters Professional Division, from 2008 to 2011." He now serves as

the Chairman of the Board of Thomson Reuters Foundation, a London-based charity supported by Thomson Reuters.

What else is James Smith, who is currently Chair of the Thomson Reuters Foundation, up to these days?

“Pfizer Director since 2014. Chair of our Compensation Committee and Member of our Audit Committee.” [https://www.pfizer.com/people/leadership/board-of-directors/james_smith]

Indeed, these latter are concurrent:

Board Memberships

TITLE	COMPANY	TENURE
Board Member	Marshall University	08/2022-PRESENT
Chairman	Thomson Reuters Foundation	03/2020-PRESENT
Board Member	Pfizer Inc	06/2014-PRESENT

[VIEW MORE](#) ▾

And add this, for good measure:

TITLE	COMPANY
BOARD MEMBER	World Economic Forum
MEMBER	World Economic Forum International Business Council

Again: Reuters is conflicted in covering us, or in covering any Pfizer-related story, because of its direct ties to *the board of Pfizer* — ties that Reuters did not disclose in a hit piece on critics of...Pfizer:

[https://www.pfizer.com/people/leadership/board_of_directors/james_smith.](https://www.pfizer.com/people/leadership/board_of_directors/james_smith)

Did Reuters disclose its egregious conflicts regarding this subject matter, per standard journalistic ethics: “Avoid conflicts of interest, real or perceived. Disclose unavoidable conflicts”, as the Society of Professional Journalists Code of Ethics rightly requires?

Reuters did not do so.

Reuters was also, unsurprisingly given this background, invoked this past week by Dr Fauci as having “fact-checked” Sen. Rand Paul to Dr. Fauci’s benefit:

**CSPAN**
@cspan

Complete exchange between Sen. [@RandPaul](#) and Dr. Anthony Fauci at Monkeypox hearing.

Sen. Paul plays [@cspanwj](#) clip of Dr. Fauci.

Fauci: "That film that you showed was really taken out of context...Reuters fact-checked, looked at that..."

Paul: "Actually, words don't lie."

0:00 / 6:57

September 14th 2022

7,302 Retweets **22,923** Likes

You can't make this level of corruption up.

Since these hit pieces appeared, two lawsuits have revealed that both CDC's Carol Crawford, and the White House itself, were discussing in 2021 my *accurate* tweet warning women of menstrual, and, thus, of fertility, harms from the mRNA vaccines. DailyClout's publicist Ellen Kinally wrote a superb press release putting that news in the

context of other damage that the War Room/DailyClout team is finding related to reproductive damage to human beings:

Outspoken with Dr Naomi Wolf

Shutting Women Up: Emails Between White House and Big Tech Focus on Social Media Warnings of mRNA Vaccination Damage to Menstruation. Did WH Censor Harms to Women?

“NEW EVIDENCE UNCOVERED IN A LAWSUIT’S DISCOVERY SUGGESTS THE WHITE HOUSE ORDERED THE TARGETING-DEPLATFORMING-CENSORING OF DR. NAOMI WOLF FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE – SEPTEMBER 9TH,

2022Outspoken with Dr Naomi Wolf is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber...

[Read more](#)

11 days ago · 323 likes · 67 comments · Dr Naomi Wolf

As soon as Ms Kinnally tried to post it on EIN Presswire, that entity shut down her — and our — account, and informed us that we could never reopen one.

Jim Hoft of *The Gateway Pundit* noted that: “They [the WarRoom/DailyClout research team] are the ONLY team scouring the tens of thousands of documents that Big Pharma wanted the courts to seal for 75 years. It’s the impressive DailyClout team that is exposing all the vaccine related health risks, deleted data sets, altered trials, and the cabal of Covid mRNA misinformation.”

Hoft looked at who is behind EIN Presswire and found similar grotesque conflicts of interest:

“The EIN Presswire service is preventing the DailyClout team from publishing press releases to thousands of news outlets. Dr. Wolf explained this stunning development in

her recent WarRoom TV interview. Executives of this newswire service are investors in patient care, pharmaceuticals, and have deep origins to the Democrat swamp. If Naomi opened another account, EIN Presswire would keep that money, with no refunds.”

Their C Suite too is directly connected to pharmaceutical interests: “**Petr Stanek** is their (COO) Chief Operating Officer, contacted at petr@einpresswire.com. Stanek is also the **co-investor** of Machavert Pharmaceuticals.”

<https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2022/09/ein-presswire-shuts-naomi-wolfs-account-without-notice-closer-look-background-revealing/>.

Leaving aside the bought-off or corrupt nature of these increasingly surreal efforts, by what used to be ethical platforms, in their ugly efforts to silence our warnings to fellow human beings that their reproductive health is damaged or destroyed by these mRNA vaccines:

Is the Pfizer vaccine safe for pregnant and breastfeeding women? For fetuses and babies? No.

And no.

And no.

A War Room/DailyClout Pfizer Documents Research Volunteer, the distinguished peer reviewer Dr. Robert Chandler, a sports medicine expert who has treated the Angels and the Lakers, found that the lipid nanoparticles, spike protein and mRNA leave the injection site within 15 minutes and travel to many organs, including to the liver, spleen and adrenals. This confirms the finding of Pfizer’s Japanese biodistribution study from a year ago. And these nanoparticles also lodge in the ovaries, with no visible means of leaving the ovaries. Thus, hypothetically with each injection and each booster, women are adding LNPs to their ovaries. <https://dailyclout.io/how-pfizer-hurt-womens-reproductive-and-sexual-health-video> https://www.phmpt.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/125742_S1_M4_4223_185350.pdf

Dr. Robert Chandler also found in the Pfizer documents over twenty terms for variously damaged mensens, and he found that women suffer 72 percent of the adverse events reported in the Pfizer documents — of which 16 percent are “reproductive disorders”.

In other words, *Pfizer knew that they were ruining women and killing babies:*

<https://dailyclout.io/women-have-three-times-the-risk-of-adverse-events-than-men-risk-to-the-reproductive-organs-is-even-greater-report/>



Nat
@Arwenstar

Dr. Robert Chandler: “Summary document 5.3.6 summarises the first 42,000 subjects who had adverse effects. It’s striking; almost 70-75% were women, in almost every category.”
And they’ve been giving ‘it’ to pregnant women 🙄

0:00 / 2:10

August 31st 2022

116 Retweets **146** Likes

The Pfizer documents show that the LNPs in particular are either designed to or are allowed to ruin women as menstruating beings, and as beings who can safely conceive, carry, deliver and nurse their babies.

The LNPs cause inflammation. Dr. James Thorp, a maternal-fetal medicine specialist, disclosed an ultrasound of the compromised placenta of an mRNA-vaccinated pregnant woman, with its netting of calcifications: <https://gettr.com/post/p1p8hpx67cb>.

Dr. Thorp’s image of a compromised placenta appears here as well:

<https://rumble.com/v1i4bv7-dr.-naomi-wolf-explains-finding-from-study-on-placentas->

[from-covid-vaccine.html](#).

This interview I did with Dr. Thorp will terrify you. He explains that he is seeing these calcifications around the placentas of his vaccinated patients, caused, he believes, by inflammation (lipid nanoparticles are inflammatory). Dr. Thorp warns that these calcifications are restricting fetal growth and development. He is also seeing chromosomal abnormalities and malformations in babies born to his mRNA-vaccinated patients:

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/yWNotidgaGfO/>

<https://www.bitchute.com/video/kvkiLhD7TiiQ/>

Men too are under attack reproductively. War Room/DailyClout Pfizer Documents Research Volunteers Project Director and DailyClout COO Amy Kelly found that the Pfizer vaccine ingredients permeate the testes and affect the epididymis, the Sertoli cells — the “nurse” cells of the testicles — the Leydig cells, which are the primary sources of testosterone in males, and the germ cells

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK556007/>. In other words, beyond just suppressing sperm count and sperm motility, the injections damage baby boys’ and small boys’ and teenage boys’ ability to grow up normally in terms of the development of *masculinity itself*: <https://dailyclout.io/pfizer-fda-cdc-hid-proven-harms-to-male-sperm-quality-testes-function-from-mrna-vaccine-ingredients/>.

Ms. Kelly’s review of the database also found mRNA injections have caused severe injuries to the penises of mRNA-vaccinated men: <https://dailyclout.io/mrna-vaccine-adverse-events-include-severe-injury-to-penis/>.

Even the next generations are under attack: A new study pre-print, “Pre-exposure to mRNA-LNP inhibits adaptive immune responses and alters innate immune fitness in an inheritable fashion,” by Zhen Qin, Aurélie Bouteau, Christopher Herbst, and Botond Z. Igyártó, shows that the mRNA injection can affect future generations: “...mice pre-exposed to the mRNA-LNP platform can pass down the acquired immune traits to their offspring...” It goes on to say, “Thus, these data all together support that the immune changes induced by the mRNA-LNP vaccine in parents can be passed down to the

offspring,” as well as, “...our study...shows the capacity of these vaccines to transmit protection trans-generationally...”

<https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.03.16.484616v2.full>

The pre-print includes the graphic below, which illustrates how in two to four litters - from the same parents - the effects of the RNA carried via lipid nanoparticles injected into mice, persist in their offspring.

It is not just babies in utero or babies in the next generation, who are being harmed by the mRNA injections.

Babies alive now are being harmed in the very act of nursing - the most sacred and primal way a newborn human experiences support, sustenance and love.

Polyethylene glycol (PEG), a petroleum byproduct which coats the lipid nanoparticles, is entering breast milk, and NIH studies confirm this. What does it do to feed mRNA in breast milk to newborns? *We do not know*. It is certainly making babies unwell and inconsolable: “An online survey of 4455 nursing mothers who received either the Pfizer-BioNTech or Moderna vaccine found that 7.1% of mothers reported an adverse effect in their breastfed infant. The most frequent symptoms were increased sleepiness and increased fussiness, both at about 3% of infants, with the frequency greater after the second dose. Less frequently reported side effects included fever, rash, diarrhea, vomiting, changes in feeding frequency, and other miscellaneous symptoms. All were numerically, but not statistically more frequent after the second dose.”

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/books/NBK565969/>

The same summary of studies found *mRNA in the breast milk of vaccinated women*. (The report, by the way, was amended on August 15, 2022, as scrutiny of these issues commenced in earnest.)

Predictably, gestated in an environment in which lipid nanoparticles are damaging or inflaming the placenta, causing calcifications and restricting fetal growth, and, once they are born, struggling to survive on a diet of breast milk, mRNA and polyethylene glycol, babies worldwide are dying.

I first reported on the global “Baby Die-Off” here, three months ago:

Outspoken with Dr Naomi Wolf

Dear Friends, Sorry to Announce a Genocide

I've been silent for some weeks. Forgive me. The truth is: I've been rendered almost speechless – or the literary equivalent of that – because recently I've

had the unenviable task of trying to announce to the world that indeed, a genocide – or what I’ve called, clumsily but urgently, a “baby die-off” – is underway...

[Read more](#)

4 months ago · 1,908 likes · 1,280 comments · Dr Naomi Wolf

The BBC, as I mentioned in the essay above, reported on a “spike” in baby deaths in Scotland (their euphemism for a doubling of the numbers of dead newborns): <https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-scotland-59347464>. My reporting about the Scottish “baby die-off” is a concern echoed by groups of Scottish women who are contacting me seeking answers. The baby die-off elsewhere is confirmed by Etana Hecht and Igor Chudov.

A group of Israeli women journalists, as reported by Etana Hecht, found VAERS reports showing that - contrary to the FDA briefing document claiming that the majority of adverse events in Pfizer’s clinical trial were non-serious – at least 58 cases of life-threatening side effects were reported for infants under 3-years-old who received the mRNA vaccines. For some of the babies, it is unclear if they survived. It is also unclear why the infants were vaccinated at all, and whether or not they were part of the clinical trials.

Clown World - Honk

Vaccinated Babies

Vaccinated Babies Yaffa Shir-Raz is a long time journalist who’s been covering the vaccine and Covid-19 mandates for the past year on her website, Real-Time Magazine. While Yaffa was studying VAERS submissions, she encountered two cases of hemmoraging in babies (ages 1 month and 3 months) who were exposed to the vaccine via breastmilk, and then found a ...

[Read more](#)

3 months ago · 80 likes · 29 comments · Etana Hecht

Dead babies haunt the VAERS database: Team Five of the War Room/DailyClout researchers also found that more than 3800 babies in the VAERS database died after their mothers had received mRNA vaccines:

“According to VAERS, between 1998 (the earliest VAERS reporting date) and May 2022, the total number of pregnant women who were vaccinated for all diseases and then lost their babies was 6,695. These babies died in spontaneous abortions and fetal disorders such as cardiac arrest and cystic hygroma” (a fluid-filled sac that forms on a fetus’ neck, indicative of a lymphatic abnormality).

But just in the past couple of years, *3,816 babies died after their mothers received a COVID-19 vaccine manufactured by Moderna, Pfizer/BioNTech, or Janssen* (Table 1). These women were vaccinated between December 2020 and March 2022. *That means 57% of all the vaccinations that resulted in a baby or fetus dying in the past 25 years or so occurred when pregnant women started receiving COVID-19 vaccines.* [Italics mine]

“Also according to VAERS, we know that very soon—within the first 10 days—after these mothers were vaccinated against COVID-19, 1,559 of their babies or fetuses died. The remaining 2,257 babies died from day 10 on. [Italics mine] Of the pregnant women who had spontaneous abortions or their babies died of other fetal disorders, 20% lost their babies on the same day the mothers were vaccinated, and 21% lost their babies in the following 9 days (Table 2). Could it be a coincidence that a COVID-19-vaccinated woman loses her baby, and 41% of the time the baby dies within 10 days of the mother’s vaccination?”
<https://dailyclout.io/risks-to-babies-of-vaccinated-mothers-as-reported-in-vaers/>

This story I am telling, though, and that Amy Kelly and the War Room/DailyClout Research Volunteers are telling, with primary source after primary source documenting the story, revealing 360 degree harms to human reproduction as revealed in the Pfizer documents, appears to break a massive media taboo.

That is not surprising.

If you had, intentionally or by accident, ruined the future of the human race, or ruined the future of about half of it, and killed and malformed a lot of babies along the way, you would probably try to shoot the messenger, too.

I noted harms from mRNA vaccines to children, and the horrific reproductive damage and the damage to breast milk, reported in the Pfizer documents, on Mark Steyn's UK show. I noted that the BBC (which takes Gates money) avoided saying that twice the usual numbers of babies in Scotland were dying.

Dr Naomi Wolf and Mark Steyn discuss online cen...



This apparently was such a “radioactive” topic that a BBC pundit named Dr Matthew Sweet, of BBC3 Radio (who has been after me since 2019, when I wrote a book that had a chapter about...how a 19th century pandemic led to the state to take control over citizens' bodies and private lives) wrote an hysterical letter to GB News and Mark Steyn, attacking Steyn's coverage; he posted the letter on Twitter:



So. This is a letter I sent today, via my agent, to @marksteyneoline and his producer @danielhardaker4 at @GBNews. A Twitter thread version follows, to which I've attached some links.



2:58 PM · Sep 12, 2022 · Twitter for iPhone

Dear Mark,

Many thanks for your kind invitation to come on your GB News show, conveyed via my agent on Thursday by your producer Daniel Hardaker.

Let me reply to you directly.

When I agree to make a media appearance, I need to be reassured that the host and the show meet proper journalistic standards. I do not believe that you or GB News are currently meeting these standards. Moreover, I think that GB News is becoming a space through which conspiracy theories are being introduced into the British media.

There are many examples of this, which I would be happy to discuss. But let me mention your show of 23rd June 2022, on which you interviewed the conspiracy theorist Naomi Wolf, a person who believes, among other things, that the Pfizer vaccine is a Chinese bioweapon with which the CCP is assassinating community leaders across America.

She came on your programme to discuss her book The Bodies of Others. On this programme she made false claims about a rise in neonatal deaths in Ontario. She suggested that Bill Gates has bribed the BBC into suppressing the facts about this. Then she made similar false claims about neonatal deaths in Scotland. You might have challenged her on these matters, but instead you nodded them through and congratulated her on her splendid work. To paraphrase Groucho Marx, I wouldn't want to join any club that has Naomi Wolf as a member.

Her false claims are fact-checked here: <https://healthfeedback.org/claimreview/large-scale-studies-found-covid-19-vaccination-doesnt-increase-risk-negative-pregnancy-outcomes-contrary-to-claim-naomi-wolf/>

Your producer Daniel Hardaker informed me that you wanted to question the content of the Twitter thread I wrote about your show of August 24th.

I am very happy to take those questions in direct correspondence with you. Perhaps we could address the specifics? Which of the statements I made about your show of August 24 do you believe to be incorrect?

I said that you were currently under investigation by Ofcom. I think this is beyond dispute.

I said that you had insinuated that several young athletes had died from the effects of the Covid vaccine. On your sarcastically-titled "Nothing to See Here" segment you made these insinuations about the recent tragic deaths of the cyclist Rab Wardell, the rugby player Ben Benn, the footballer Molly White and the boxer Dominic Oscar. There is no reported evidence that vaccines had any bearing on their deaths. But there is quite a lot of chatter about this on conspiracy theory websites like this:

<https://www.onenewspage.com/video/20220819/14790306/covid-vaccines-killed-Dominic-Oscar.htm>

I would suggest that material like this is beneath serious notice and should certainly not be a source for stories on any TV channel that is subject to Ofcom regulation.

I said that you had incorporated the stories of these grieving families into a conspiracy theory about vaccines. I see no error here.

I said that you were not competent to understand the data that you were using to present your argument. As the fact-check by Iria Carballo-Carbajal of Health Feedback shows, you asserted, wrongly, that "[t]he peak of vaccine mandates in America [...] coincides with massive excess mortality among people in the prime of life."

Your use of statistics about deaths in Alberta was misleading because final figures are only available for one of the years that you put on the screen. You combined final figures with preliminary figures, producing a misleading impression.

This link demonstrates all the mistakes that you and your producers made in this item. <https://healthfeedback.org/claimreview/covid-19-vaccines-reduce-risk-death-contrary-to-mark-steyns-misleading-interpretation-mortality-data-gb-news/>

I compared this work to sewage spilled on a beach. If Twitter is the beach, and the errors and false claims in your GB News programme are the sewage, I think this simile is apposite.

I look forward to your reply, and to the outcome of the Ofcom investigation.

I have supplied Ofcom with a copy of this letter.

I shall also post the full text on Twitter. You may reply to it there if you wish.

Yours

Matthew Sweet

Dr M Sweet
Via United Agents

Dr. Sweet compared Steyn's work to "sewage spilled on a beach"; he made a point to mention me (along with other past guests of Mark Steyn's show), identified by the epithet, "Conspiracy Theorist."



Matthew Sweet
@DrMatthewSweet

[@GBNEWS](#) Thank you for this - but it fails to answer any of the specific points I have raised about your inaccurate and misleading reporting and recruitment of cranks and conspiracy theorists to comment on matters of grave seriousness.

September 14th 2022

36 Retweets 370 Likes

Metro UK, a formerly objective news site, in covering this story, took a tweet of mine (one that quotes the Moderna website directly, which used “software platform” as a metaphor) misleadingly out of context. This is a now-typical tactic of many news outlets, one that has cropped up regularly since the CDC and even the White House apparently colluded with Twitter in discussing how to silence me about the issue of reproductive damage: <https://metro.co.uk/2022/09/14/gb-news-served-with-brutal-rejection-letter-from-potential-guest-17378176/>.

I gave Dr. Simon Goddek, my friend, the respected scientist who is a hero in my book *The Bodies of Others: Covid-19, the New Authoritarians, and the War Against the Human*, an interview on his podcast about — the harms to placentas, to babies and pregnancy.

The interview was censored, he told me, by Spotify.

As my publicist Ellen Kinnally put it, the memo with my name on it has obviously gone out.

Could all of this exhausting and tiresome censorship, and this un-American blowback, mean that, as we expose rigorously, with primary documentation, the reproductive damage via mRNA vaccines to women and babies, and to men— we appear to be over the biggest target of all?

#####

Shouldn't the AP and Reuters and BBC be doing their own independent reporting, and independently asking (rather than “asking Pharma spokespeople”) these questions:

Do the mRNA vaccines harm pregnant women? Do they harm breast milk and newborn babies? Do they harm the testes and the epididymis, the Leydig cells, the Sertoli cells, and the germ cells? Do they cross the placenta? Do they compromise it? Do they in fact put PEG, a petroleum byproduct, in breast milk?

Are these mRNA injections ruining women and murdering babies?

Yes, AP and Reuters — the *Guardian* and the BBC, *The New York Times* and *The Washington Post* — indeed, all the Gates money, and CARES Act money, prostitutes — *should* all be asking those questions.

EIN Presswire, also apparently conflicted, *should* let us send out a press release letting the world know that serious women's health and fertility discussions were suppressed by the CDC, Big Tech, and possibly even the White House, thus harming millions of American women and their babies.

Yes, these “public interest” institutions should act on their responsibilities to humanity.

But that vision of an uncorrupted news, press release, and commentator environment is by now a naive dream.

Daily I wake to my personal karmic burden of facing, via the superb reports of the [War Room/DailyClout Pfizer Documents Research Volunteers](#), new information about what history will soon describe as the systemic global attack on humanity, and on its ability to reproduce itself safely — an attack that very nearly did it in.

Daily, we shoulder the burden of telling this dark but vital story to the world — because the ones who call themselves journalists, the ones who call themselves wire services, who call themselves press release services, who call themselves British government broadcasters, are sadly so corrupt as to be — unavailable.

As the abyss of human survival itself yawns open, these traitors to humanity are too preoccupied to document it; because hey, they are busy.

They are busy, cashing the checks.

Outspoken with Dr Naomi Wolf is a reader-

supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

Type your email...	Subscribe
--------------------	-----------

312 Comments



Write a comment...



Lex Weiser Writes Dystopian Living Sep 18 Liked by **Dr Naomi Wolf**

Dr. Wolf, the fact that you have marshalled such an incredible army of volunteers shines a beacon of hope in this wasteland of corruption. The truth wants to be free.

129 Reply Gift a subscription Collapse

12 replies



Jursy Gurl Sep 18 Liked by **Dr Naomi Wolf**

The lack of enthusiasm for this latest booster is evidence that the warnings and truth bombs you are dropping on big pharma and mRNA jabs is making an impact.

95 Reply Gift a subscription Collapse

2 replies

310 more comments...

© 2022 Dr Naomi Wolf · [Privacy](#) · [Terms](#) · [Collection notice](#)
[Substack](#) is the home for great writing