

BREAKING EXCLUSIVE: The 2021 Maricopa Audit Proved After Three Days that the 2020 Election in Arizona Was Uncertifiable (Part I)

By Joe Hoft

Published December 17, 2022 at 10:20am

367 Comments



After the 2021 Election, there was an unstoppable effort to get to the bottom of the 2020 Election in Arizona’s Maricopa County. Eventually, an audit was voted on, approved and performed in the Arizona GOP-led Senate.



There was much discussion then on who would perform the audit and where it would be performed. Eventually, it was determined that the audit would be performed at the Maricopa County Veterans Memorial Coliseum.

There also were months between when the audit was voted on and when it started.

The audit did occur, but based on the information we know today, the ballot count and review should have ended after three days.

Many good people volunteered and spent many hours counting and reviewing ballots during the 2021 audit of Maricopa County.

They are not to blame.

A few weeks after the audit started a **letter was sent to Maricopa County officials** in May 2021 from the Arizona Senate and signed by Karen Fann. In this letter, the following was noted:

II. Chain of Custody and Ballot Organization Anomalies

As the audit has progressed, the Senate's contractors have become aware of apparent omissions, inconsistencies, and anomalies relating to Maricopa County's handling, organization, and storage of ballots. We hope you can assist us in understanding these issues, including specifically the following:

1. The County has not provided any **chain-of-custody** documentation for the ballots. Does such documentation exist, and if so, will it be produced?
2. The bags in which the ballots were stored are **not sealed**, although the audit team has found at the



bottom of many boxes cut seals of the type that would have sealed a ballot bag. Why were these seals placed at the bottom of the boxes?

3. Batches within a box are frequently separated by only a divider without any indication of the corresponding batch numbers. In some cases, the batch dividers are missing altogether. This lack of organization has significantly complicated and delayed the audit team's ballot processing efforts. What are the County's procedures for sorting, organizing, and packaging ballot batches?
4. Most of the ballot boxes were sealed merely with **regular tape** and not secured by any kind of tamper-evident seal. Is that the County's customary practice for storing ballots?
5. The audit team has encountered a significant number of instances in which there is a **disparity between the actual number of ballots contained in a batch and the total denoted on the pink report slip** accompanying the batch. In most of these instances, the total on the pink report slip is greater than the number of ballots in the batch, although there are a few instances in which the total is lower. What are the reasons for these discrepancies? For your reference, please see several illustrative (*i.e.*, not comprehensive) examples in the table below:

Here is the letter from the Arizona Senate to the Maricopa County Supervisors



[Exclusive Letter to Maricop...](#) by [Jim Hoft](#)

Notice that the Senate shared that the boxes received were in terrible condition with bags unsealed and broken seals but **no data was provided** related to the number and percent of boxes not complying with the chain of custody practices. In addition, other material issues related to the audit were identified and the ballot issues were somewhat hidden.

In an [interview with Ken Bennett](#) two days later, Bennett shared with Jordan Conradson at TGP:



Well, we still don't have the chain of custody documents that the county must have kept between when the ballots were put in the warehouse after the November election to when they were delivered to us on April 22nd. We know that one of the county officials tweeted out a photo of some of the ballot pallets being loaded into the back of a truck as though they were ready to deliver them to the Senate. And so we would like to see if their chain of custody documents between November and April include movement of the ballots like that. We have complete chain of custody from the day that they brought the ballots to us, while we've been holding the ballots. But we're still looking for the chain of custody documentation between the election and April.

Submit Additional Information



Joe Hoft

Summary

Recent Posts

Contact

Joe Hoft is the twin brother of TGP's founder, Jim Hoft, and a contributing editor at TGP. Joe was instrumental in reporting the truth behind the Mueller sham, the origins of COVID-19, and the 2020 Election fraud. Joe was a corporate executive in Hong Kong for a decade and has years of experience in finance, IT, operations, and auditing around the world. Joe is the author of five books. His new bestseller, "The Steal: Volume II - The Impossible Occurs" is out now. It addresses the stolen 2020 Election and provides an inventory proving that the 2020 Election was uncertifiable and never should have been certified for Joe Biden. Joe also has a weekly radio podcast at TNTRadio.live where he discusses current events with prominent guests.

 [@joehoft](#)

The Gateway Pundit is moving back to Disqus! All of your account information and comment history has been saved and



will be uploaded as quickly as possible to Disqus. If you do not already have a Disqus account, you will need to create one. Please use the same email address that you used for Insticator for your comment history to be carried over. We greatly appreciate your patience and continued support!

Gateway Pundit

Where Hope Finally Made a Comeback.

© 2022 The Gateway Pundit – All Rights Reserved.

Home

About

Advertise

Privacy

Facebook

GETTR

YouTube

Terms

Contact

