

# Safety signals for 770 different serious adverse events in VAERS were ignored by the CDC

Due to a FOIA request, we now finally know that safety signals for 770 serious adverse events triggered, but the CDC ignored them. Will there be an investigation? I doubt it. The CDC can do no wrong.



Steve Kirsch ✓  
Jan 5

686

384



## Executive summary

Safety signals were generated in the VAERS system for death and 769 other severe adverse events. The CDC ignored all of them based on exactly the same bullshit reason that they used 13 years ago with HPV that is just “over reporting.” But there is no evidence whatsoever there is “over reporting.”

We’ve done direct physician office surveys and nobody is overreporting. In fact, every piece of evidence shows that there are more reports because the vaccine is so dangerous. Look around you at all the “sudden deaths” and the vaccine injured stories and ask yourself, “Is that overreporting”?

In addition, over 500 reports had a larger signal than myocarditis which is an acknowledged signal, so they can’t hide behind an “over reporting” excuse.

They claimed they looked at all the deaths but didn’t find an association with the vaccine. But they never did the proper tests to actually see if there was vaccine involvement in the deaths. They simply looked the other way. Contrast this with the [Schwab paper](#) where they found at least 14% of the deaths post-vaccine were likely caused by the vaccine. How can the CDC find 0 in 15,000 deaths? Answer: when those people’s job’s depend upon finding the politically correct answer.

When confronted with the fact that that the death, they gaslit the doctor who asked them about it rather than acknowledging the death safety signal triggered. This was deliberate. This was a top CDC official that responded.

[Rasmussen reported](#) that:

The latest Rasmussen Reports national telephone and online survey finds that (49%) of American Adults believe it is likely that side effects of COVID-19 vaccines have caused a significant number of unexplained deaths, including 28% who think it’s Very Likely. **28% of Americans know someone who is vaccine injured.**

That is unprecedented! Again, they knew there were huge safety issues because they had the V-safe data, so they knew the VAERS events weren’t over reported.


Here’s what you can do:

1. Use [this handy guide and call now](#) and call for an investigation why the CDC ignored 770 different safety signals for the COVID vaccines.
2. Send an email to your local newspaper (even if it is *The New York Times*) asking them to call for an investigation. You’ll quickly see how corrupt they are when they ignore this.
3. Email or call your doctor (and any other doctor you know) and ask them to make a public call for an investigation, e.g., by posting on their website, Twitter posting, etc. I suggest you

switch doctors if they refuse to do this. Doctors everywhere in the world need to speak out about this corruption or remain silent and contribute to the corruption.

In addition, the fact checkers should all “fact check” the CDC on their bogus claim of over reporting which has NO evidence to support it.

An  
Dav  
for  
“ov  
Wil  
San  
ign  
Wil  
Thi  
aut  
You  
not



Jeffrey Morris, Jonathan Jarry,  
ould immediately go after the CDC  
ntiary support of the excuse of  
ng? Will Eric Topol speak up? Will  
c you can bank on all of them to  
t the corruption.  
S represent 500,000 deaths. All the  
een able to explain it.  
random. See if any of them could  
d they all were consistent with a

vaccine-caused death. Will any fact checker do this on a livestream? No way,h

## Introduction

Read this article [CDC Finally Released Its VAERS Safety Monitoring Analyses for COVID Vaccines via FOIA](#). It highlights what I have been saying for a long time:

The CDC is DELIBERATELY ignoring all the safety signals generated in the official safety monitoring system (VAERS)

In particular, here are just the top three bullet points:

- CDC’s VAERS safety signal analysis ... shows clear safety signals for **death** and a range of **highly concerning** thrombo-embolic, cardiac, neurological, hemorrhagic, hematological, immune-system and menstrual adverse events (AEs) among U.S. adults.
- There were 770 different types of adverse events that showed safety signals in ages 18+, of which **over 500 (or 2/3) had a larger safety signal than myocarditis/pericarditis**.
- The CDC analysis shows that the number of serious adverse events reported in less than two years for mRNA COVID-19 vaccines is 5.5 times larger than all serious reports for vaccines given to adults in the US since 2009 (~73,000 vs. ~13,000).

The CDC decided not to take action on any of these reports because they simply dismissed them out of hand without investigating them that they must be due to overreporting.

This is irresponsible because they had absolutely no evidence for making such a preposterous claim. There is no evidence of this because all the evidence shows that people

## When Dr. George Fareed asked about the death safety signals, they lied and claimed that only a few signals were found

On November 23, 2022, I reported on how the CDC sidestepped hundreds of safety signals when Dr. George Fareed asked them about it.

They knew the death safety signal was triggered and said nothing.

They knew over 770 safety signals had triggered and said nothing.


[See their response.](#)

## They knew full well VAERS isn't being overreported. It's obvious.

All you have to do is look at this one graph. If everyone “suddenly knew” about VAERS in 2021, then why isn't that the reporting rates for every other vaccine didn't move at all? Yup, they can't explain that, they can't explain our doctor surveys (showing doctors aren't reporting at a greater rate), and they can't explain how all the redpill doctors we are reporting a dramatic increase in events because there are more events. One doctor I know went from 0 VAERS reports a year (for the past 11 years) to over 1,000 for the COVID vaccine in 2021. Was it because she was more aware? Nope. It's because there were far more events for this vaccine.

### VAERS COVID Vaccine Mortality Reports

Through December 23, 2022



**They used the same “oh, it's just over reporting” before, 13 years ago. It's exactly the same playbook. And they had no evidence back then either.**

They are using the exact same bullshit argument that they used for the HPV vaccine 13 years ago (see pages 113- 115 in Turtles All The Way Down): “it's just over stimulated reporting.” The HPV vaccine was the equivalent of the COVID vaccine 13 years ago: it had triple the number of reports of all other vaccines combined. So they ignored it. Today, the COVID vaccines make the HPV vaccine look super-safe in comparison.

Here's an small excerpt from the Turtles book on page 115:

Although the authors admit that VAERS does not provide reliable data to realistically assess safety, they willfully leap all methodological obstacles and conclude that Gardasil's safety profile was generally similar to that of other vaccines.<sup>27</sup> This is certainly a noteworthy achievement, especially when one considers that they stated at the outset that “the VAERS reporting rate for [Gardasil] is triple the rate for all other vaccines combined.”<sup>28</sup> Did VAERS data and the research techniques at the authors' disposal enable them to come up with a reasonable explanation for the abnormally high rate of reported adverse events for Gardasil? The answer seems to be no. The paper does not contain an

and says they had no “evidence-based explanation,” and relied on speculation without even bothering to lift a finger to validate whether their speculation was true or not:

evidence-based explanation, **merely the speculation** that the high reporting rate might simply “reflect greater public attention to HPV” that was purportedly “stimulated” by “widespread media coverage”.<sup>29</sup>

Despite Slade and company’s acknowledgment of the inherent limitations of VAERS – “underreporting, inconsistency in the quality and completeness of reported data, stimulated reporting due to extensive news coverage, and reporting biases” – and despite their own warning that “VAERS data need to be interpreted with caution,”<sup>30</sup> the authors illogically conclude that Gardasil is at least as safe as other vaccines.<sup>31</sup> This ringing endorsement was amplified when news stories about the Slade 2009 study started appearing in mainstream media shortly after it was published. In these news stories, the caveats and question marks brought out in the paper, the methodological limitations, and the call for caution in interpreting VAERS data all vanished as if they had never existed. All that remained was the decisive *The vaccine is safe!* message promulgated by the lead researcher, Dr. Slade.<sup>32</sup> Later, prominent health organizations, including the CDC and WHO, repeated this *nothing to see here, all is well* message while citing the Slade study as conclusive evidence of Gardasil’s safety.<sup>33</sup>

13 years later, they are doing the same thing that they did with the HPV vaccine: creating an excuse that is not backed by any evidence and dismissing 770 serious events with a wave of the hand.

I asked 20 top scientists to speak out about this, but only one of them was nice enough to send me this note:

Hi Steve –

I will remain silent because I don’t have the time to investigate these issues in the depth required for me to criticize (or praise) them for their decision.

Best wishes to you and your family for health and meaning in 2023.

A

## Summary

The CDC ignored 770 safety signals in VAERS and didn’t tell the public about any of them, including the death safety signal.

They use a hand-waving excuse for ignoring the safety signals.

Who needs evidence when NOBODY is holding you accountable?

Nobody is ever going to speak out about this because it isn’t their job. They are just going to let people die.

The mainstream press will not report on it either. If you tried to write the story, they will fire you.

So don’t expect any changes anytime soon.



Write a comment...



Steve Kirsch  Jan 5  Pinned

Whoops! I prematurely published this before I was finished editing. There are a few sentences that were incomplete. I'll be updating this later today with the fixes and some interesting additions. Sorry about that. Mistakes happen. :(

 94  Reply  Collapse

149 replies



Atticus 17 hr ago

\*\*\*FLASH, Pls. Bump And Share\*\*\*\*\* "EXCLUSIVE"\*\*\*\*

'One Of The Best Youtube Video On the Whole Covid and Vax Agenda!'

"It is not a Vaccine it is a 'Prototype Counter Measure' under the Dept Of Defense, never properly Vetted!"

Make sure you are sitting down when you View it, many will be held Accountable! Going back to 2013.

Youtube:

BOMBHELL docs reveal Covid-19 COVER-UP goes straight to the top | Redacted with Clayton Morris

267,372 views Jan 7, 2023 #redacted #claytonmorris #natalimorris

A BOMBHELL new report claims shows that the Department of Defense - meaning the Pentagon - controlled the COVID-19 Program from the very beginning. If true, it means that everything we were told was political theater, right down to the FDA vaccine approval process. Our guest today is the former executive of a pharmaceutical contract research organization Sasha Latypova and she shows what she has found due to her searches based on instinct that the Covid vaccine was not exactly on the up and up.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ERvURcpg3JE>

 Reply  Gift a subscription  Collapse

382 more comments...