

The Dutch Demonic Embryo Act

Our government is paving the way for sickening embryonic experimentation in the name of The Science™



Eva Vlaardingerbroek
Feb 27

♡ 111

💬 26



It's needless to say that I distrust my government. Anybody who has every seen any of my interviews or has heard me speak about the dozens of violations of the Rule of Law that our government has committed over the years, would know that. Think about the horrific covid restrictions, the eradication of the presumption of innocence and recently of course the expropriation (theft) of our farmers' land. In short: the people who rule us do not have our best interest at heart. We know that, but what comes next shocked me beyond belief.

Two days ago, I was a guest at Dutch YouTube channel [BLCKBX](#) and lawyer Sven Hulleman spoke about our government's plan to alter the Dutch 'Embryo Act'. The [current Embryo Act](#) aims to regulate artificial insemination and IVF etc. and has remained unchanged since 2002. But it's about to change drastically in the near future, if things go according to our cabinet's plans.

So what reasons do they give to want to change the law? Well, you guessed it: "to update it to the current state of the Science".

Aha! THE SCIENCE™! After the past three years, I can imagine all of your internal alarm bells go off when you hear those word - and they should be. Because our cabinet, led by Mark Rutte's Neo-Liberal hell party (the VVD) and Sigrid Kaag's Democratic Party (D66) - adding another 6 would be fitting -, is now looking to make major changes this the Embryo Act, including:

1. Lifting the ban on the creation of embryos for purposes *other* than the creation of a pregnancy.
2. Changing the definition of 'embryo' taking into account the development in the field of 'embryo-like structures' (ELS) and allowing for two types of experimental human/animal combinations that currently fall outside the scope of the Embryo Act.

Yes, please do read that again.

In other words: our cabinet wants to legalize creating an embryo for purely 'scientific' purposes. Aka, they want to make it legal to create **life** outside of the womb, keep it alive for the sole purpose of experimenting with it and then killing it again after 28 days. And with that life, they want to be able to conduct animal-human crossovers experiments.

How? Well, two options.

Either they use fertilized 'left over' embryos from women who opted for IVF; *or* they will be working with what they call 'embryo-like structures', which are structures of stem cells that mimic all or part of the development of an embryo - but are created without the need for an actual egg or sperm cell.

All they would need for that are 'induced pluripotent stem cells' (iPSC) that are derived from skin or blood cells and have been reprogrammed back into an embryonic-like pluripotent state that enables the development of an unlimited source of any type of human cell needed for therapeutic purposes.

Sounds to me like Huxley's *Brave New World* no longer can be classified as fiction.

The screenshot shows a newsletter sign-up form. At the top, there is a text input field labeled 'Type your email...' and a 'Subscribe' button. Below this is a profile picture of a woman, followed by the text 'Discover more from Resist Much, Obey Little | by Eva Vlaardingerbroek'. A description follows: 'A newsletter for regular updates about the freedom fight in Europe by a lawyer and commentator who made it her mission to fight the mandates.' Below the description is another 'Type your email...' input field, a 'Subscribe' button, a 'Continue reading >' link, and a 'Sign in' button.

What's mind-blowing about all of this, the Christen Unie, a Christian party is part of the current government and has done absolutely nothing to stop this plan. Washing their hands in innocence they've chosen to easy way out by not technically co-drafting this law, but simply staying quiet on the sideline and relying on left-wing opposition parties to vote in favor for it so it'll come to pass without their explicit support anyway.

In fact, the only party in the Dutch parliament that asked any substantial questions is the Reformed Christian party, the SGP.

The members of the SGP Group asked whether one should make protectability of embryos dependent on other interests: "Does this not lay the groundwork for instrumentalizing human life in the interests of others in a way that is undesirable?"

The answer given by our Minister of Health (D66) was of course completely in line with the moral and intellectual incoherence typical for modern liberals. He [answered](#):

"The core objective of the Embryo Act has been and remains to strike a balance between respect for (incipient) human life on the one hand and the importance of others values such as the progress of medical science and the quality and safety of future medicine on the other. With this core objective, the protectability of the embryo is not absolute, but can be balanced against other interests important to society."

Asking what forms the moral basis for the 'protectability' of the Embryo, our minister answered:

"The ability to grow into a human being underlies this protectability. This is true for the Embryo Act in its current form, and I have no intention of changing it."

Of course, he has no intention of changing it, because he knows that the experiments that he now wants to regulate on human-animal embryos (cybrids), that contains about 99.9% human DNA and 0.1% animal DNA, have virtually zero ability to grown into a human being. So following his reasoning and the scope of the Embryo Act, these creatures, wouldn't be eligible for 'protection'.

And that's where we get to the core of all of this. Stating that 'the ability to grow into a human being', is what gives an embryo 'protectabilty' or 'worthiness of protection', is a morally and intellectually hollow statement. And it's a dangerous one too.

First of all, following this reasoning the 'protectabilty' or worthiness of a human life gets determined by the **government**. Meaning the government can also take it away. That concept does not sit well with me and it definitely did not sit well with the Founding Fathers of the United States of America. It is the very reason why when they wrote The Declaration of Independence, they stated:

"We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are **endowed by their Creator** with certain **unalienable** Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness."

What this means is, you don't have rights because the **government** gave them to you, but because you are created by **God**. And that's something one can take that away from you.

But that's exactly what I think any power-hungry government in the world would like you to forget. When we go back to the premise that an embryo deserves protection because it has the 'ability' to become a human being; we have to realize that this already negates the fact that an embryo IS human life. It negates the idea that from the moment of conception, life is formed and is worthy of protection.

And that's what happens in a society that has become estranged from God. In a society where people no longer believe that God is real and that He is the Creator of life and that we are created in His image; anything goes. Our 'overlords' think they are God.

Because if life is not sacred and we can do with it whatever we want, then why not mix human cells with animal cells? Why not grow a baby outside of the womb? Why not create an entire army of motherless babies that you could use for labour? Or maybe even for warfare? If it's all for the greater good, and we can decide what that greater good is, then what's the problem?

I'm making an extreme argument here, but just because it's extreme - and we don't like to think that it could happen, does not mean that it won't happen. We cannot shy away from the fact that people do evil things in the name of good. We have to be very careful, because once the Rubicon gets crossed and we start to intervene with human nature - with the creation - it won't be easy to get back from it.

Thank you for reading Eva's Substack. This post is public so feel free to share it.

26 Comments



Write a comment...



Alizees Booty Writes Alizees's Newsletter Feb 27

WE are on God's side, not THEM. THEY are in the antichrist's opposite camp! And there are no other formations, just God's US versus demonic THEY.

♡ 13 Reply Collapse



Joop Feb 27 · edited Feb 27

Hi Eva,

Posting links to your content on YouTube, Rumble and BitChute all the time. Admire your persistence, knowledge and intelligence. It's also incredible how you master German and English.

This piece is also VERY important, I saw Sven Hulleman bringing this up on BLCKBX. This Dutch Government is EVIL, PURE EVIL.

Hopefully the voters will show common sense in a few weeks.

Keep fighting the Good Fight.

♡ 7 Reply Collapse

24 more comments...