Population Control and the American Way of Life


And just like that, a new gene therapy-based birth control technology is born



ROBERT W MALONE MD, MS JUL 15, 2023



Share



Worldwide birthrate per 1000 people follows a very predictable trend. In "developed" and/or wealthy nations, the birthrate is low and in nations at the lower end of the economic development scale, the birthrate is high. Nothing new there.

Many countries, including the USA, have birthrates that either are too low to sustain current population levels or are stable. Since 1970, the population of people born in the USA has been stable at below 300 million. In fact, some estimates show a decline in population. All of the population growth in the USA during this time period has been due to immigration. That is why the USA has grown to 336 million people in 50 years. This trend has only increased in recent years.

There were <u>a record 44.8 million immigrants</u> living in the U.S. in 2018, making up 13.7% of the nation's population. This represents a more than fourfold increase since 1960, when 9.7 million immigrants lived in the U.S., accounting for 5.4% of the total U.S. population.

For Jill and I growing up in a blue state, we were indoctrinated at an early age by the public school system that having two children was the responsible thing to do to save the planet from overpopulation. That careers were more important that having a large family. That women would find more fulfillment in a education and career, as opposed to staying at home. That women should defer motherhood until college and a career were firmed established. That this was the responsible path to take. Today, young women receive the same messaging from our government, our schools systems, and main stream corporate media.

This messaging by the US government is still as strident as when I was in my youth 50 to 60 year

The in p		human right. What this means prates have a right to migrate
into	Discover more from Who is Robert Malone	
То То	Medicine, science, bioethics, analytics, politics and life Over 309,000 subscribers	l nation states exist for a reason. nment which is in control of
mię	Type your email	WEF.
Thi by (Age	Subscribe Continue reading	ot apply to non-citizens. This is lot UN agreements, such as atified by the Senate.
Ou: hur	Sign in	opulace that large family size the reward of that, for better or
worse	,	ation of the American way of
life, environment, cultural heritage and associated economic opportunities for US Citizens.		

And yet still they persist. This week, Kamala Harris specifically stated that a reduced population was key to children being able to breath and drink clean water. This is not the first time she has asserted this false narrative.

"<u>When we invest in clean energy and electric vehicles and reduce population, more of our children can</u> <u>breath clean air and drink clean water</u>." - Kamala Harris

Yet, the <u>Biden border crisis grows ever more urgent</u> and the rate of illegal immigration continues to surge. It is a no brainer to think that an option to reduce population might be as simple as reducing immigration, if that was their true intent.

The truth is that the USA has a vibrant and amazing culture. A heritage built on independence, free speech, shared values and strong work ethic. This heritage can easily be diluted by too much immigration. Just look to what is happening France right now. Open migration policies have worked to cause a vast instability within the nation. France literally can no longer integrate so many people, with such different sets of cultural norms into their core national culture. This is not progress.

Under globalism, the heterogeneous cultures through out the world are being weaponized as a way to destroy diversity; a path towards enabling a single, globalized government controlled by the UN and the WEF. Which is precisely what open borders, the immigration policies of the UN and even Kamala Harris' statements seem to be working towards. It is time to end this nonsense and get back to a closed and orderly immigration system.

There are over 8 billion people in the world. The USA can not take all those that wish to immigrate. To think otherwise is foolish.

President Trump had it right. America has to be an independent and free nation. We need to rely on Americans for our goods and services. A strong economy is one that meets its own needs internally. Whereby goods, services, medical care, energy are produced domestically. A strong nation doesn't need to import low wage earners to do its dirty work. The bizarre directive of reducing the naturally born population while importing new immigrants serves no functional purpose except to further globalize the USA. By accepting large numbers of immigrants while reducing our own American population, we further regress as a nation, and we will continue to accelerate economic devastation of both middle class and urban poor citizens. A new world order where migration is a right, borders are open and the UN controls the ebb and flow of populations is ceding American nationalism and will destroy the American experiment in self-governance.

Our government needs to stay out of the business of enforcing population measures.

Which brings me to the mRNA genetic shots. People worry that the mRNA jabs have some sequence or component, such as the lipid nano-particle or genetic code, which are causing sterility. And that these were intentionally designed to cause a decrease in fertility worldwide. This is not a completely unrealistic fear.

For years, there have been rumors of abortion vaccines and anti-fertility vaccines being developed in India and Africa. With evidence being presented for and against these rumors. But we do know for sure that China used forced sterilizations and forced abortions on its own citizens. Now, China worries that their population levels are crumping rapidly. Government controls on family choices are immoral. The idea of a vaccine to control population is repugnant.

Which brings me to a newly published "Nature" paper that shows that using <u>adeno-associated</u> <u>viral vectored techniques</u>, <u>cats can be permanently sterilized</u>. In this essay, I don't want to get into the science behind this (lets defer that to a later essay) but I do want to discuss the ethics of developing "gene therapy" techniques that rely on viral vectors for sterilization.

To begin with, such a fertility gene therapy technique using adeno-associated virus (AAV) "gene therapy" vectors could be accidentally or purposefully modified to be infectious. This requires a recombination event (rescue) of another related adenovirus, which could be a wild type. Once that happens, the viral vector could be replication competent: ergo infectious. Although AAV "gene therapy" vectors are not a full replicating virus, the truth is that in a research setting, using the full virus to create infectious products is relatively simple. It could be as simple as missing a purification step or a recombination event. If such a product were to escape or be released into the general cat population, it would be a disaster. If such a vector had a rescue event in an injected animal, it could literally create a new virus. What happen if it were to infect on other feline species, such as cheetahs, big cats, cougars or bob cats? There is a scenario whereby it could decimate the population of an endangered species or all the cats . Furthermore, <u>there is a possibility that such a virus could jump species - even into humans</u>. Adeno-associated viruses are respiratory viruses, so can spread easily. What happens then?

Not to mention, we already know that NGOs and governments are willing to consider reducing population via vaccination or forced sterilization. Who is to say whether an organization, perhaps even one with the "best of intentions" in mind (or believing the "the ends justify the means"), would be willing to go there. After what we have experienced over the past three years, I would consider it in the realm of possibility. Kamala Harris, Bill Gates and the WEF and UN all have made their positions crystal clear. Population reduction is imperative.

There must be more regulatory controls on biological research for both animals and humans.

But in the meantime, we have to consider that the government doesn't really care about population control. You can know them by their actions, not their words. Their words endorse low birthrate as a pathway to population stabilization, but their actions enable rampant population growth due to immigration. The DATA indicate that what they really are striving for is a New World Order, whereby the UN becomes the dominant force of the world, with nation states nestled under their organizational structure. One in which out migration combined with regional population control via government-enabled birth control (via both pharmaceuticals and deployed propaganda) is designed to augment that process of enabling populations born in economically disadvantaged regions to gain control of more economically advanced nations and infrastructure while destroying the cultures and politico/economic structures which have historically enabled the economic development of these more advanced regions.

> Who is Robert Malone is a reader-supported publication. To receive new posts and support my work, consider becoming a free or paid subscriber.

Thank you for reading Who is Robert Malone. This post is public so feel free to share it.

Verfici 😥 763 Like

763 Likes · 46 Restacks

223 Comments

Write a comment...

Cat

Cat Gardner Jul 15

Kinda makes one wonder if all this gender ideology and pushing drugs and surgery (that often cause sterilization) on young people isn't somehow part of the plan. Under the guise of helping "affirm" a youngster, they actually create infertile adults who remain mentally unstable and dependent on big pharma for life. Double win for the wicked.

💛 LIKE (132) 🔘 REPLY \cdots

19 replies



Brad Writes Euphoric Recall Jul 15

When the fertility rate falls below replacement level it creates an inverted population pyramid, which leads to a host of big problems. Economic growth slows and government budgets are strained. Today's babies are tomorrow's workers and taxpayers: They're the ones who'll sustain the economy by funding our pensions when we retire, paying the taxes that finance Social Security, Medicare, and many other government programs we'll rely on, and buying the homes and stocks we invested in to build our savings.

💛 LIKE (40) 🔘 REPLY \cdots

27 replies

221 more comments...