

Renowned Climate Scientists Warn Public: Green Agenda Based on 'Hoax'



Frank Bergman

August 26, 2023 - 12:57 pm

5 Comments



Two of the world's most renowned climate scientists have spoken out to warn the public that the green agenda being peddled by the World Economic Forum (WEF) and its globalist allies is based on a "hoax."

As Slay News has extensively [reported](#), governments [around the world](#) are [scrambling](#) to meet the "[Net Zero](#)" goals of the green agenda.

This destructive agenda is being led by the WEF and other similarly-aligned unelected bureaucratic organizations such as the [United Nations](#) (UN) and the [World Health Organization](#) (WHO).

5

The [alleged](#) goal of the green agenda is to fight the so-called "global warming/climate change/global boiling crisis."

However, meeting these goals means ramping up taxes, stripping the public of basic freedoms, and drastically lowering the quality of life for the general

<https://slaynews.com/news/renowned-climate-scientists-warn-public-green-agenda-based-hoax/>

Latest News



Facebook 'Fact Checker' Caught Running Political Censorship Operation To Manipulate Voters



Oliver Anthony Tells Joe Rogan God Transformed His Life For The Better



1600 Scientists, Including Nobel Prize Winners, Declare 'Climate Emergency' A Hoax



Bill Gates Pushes Plan To Chop Down 70 Million Acres Of Trees To 'Fight Global Warming'

DeSantis Issues

population.



Warning To Looters After Hurricane: 'You Loot, We Shoot'



Recommended

MD: If You Have Dark Spots, Do This Immediately (It's Genius!) 

Little River Dental Implants for Seniors Paid by Medicare 

Top Heart Surgeon: This Simple Trick Helps Empty Your Bowels Every Morning 

But as the corporate media continues to increasingly stoke fear in the public about the claimed "threat" from the "climate crisis," actual experts are now starting to come forward to dispute the so-called "settled science."

Democrat President Joe Biden's WEF-aligned administration's Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has just introduced new rules to cut CO2 emissions in electricity generation, to supposedly fight "climate change."

Two prominent climate scientists are pushing back, however, warning in recent testimony that new EPA regulations "will be disastrous for the country, for no scientifically justifiable reason."

William Happer, professor emeritus in physics at Princeton University, and Richard Lindzen, professor emeritus of atmospheric science at Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), have both gone on the record to warn that the claims made by Biden's EPA are based on a "hoax" and only serve to advance the globalist green agenda.



A Return of Covid Tyranny? Dr. Peter McCullough Weighs In - Ron Paul... Tucker Carlson WARNS Democrats Will Start WW3 With Russia To STOP



Trump... Rachel Maddow Has Finally LOST IT!!!



Tragedy in Maui: How Left-Wing Government FAILED Hawaiians...



PROMOTED CONTENT



Lab-Designed Anatomical Hearing Aid For Only \$99

Audien Atom



Top Heart Surgeon: This Simple Trick Helps Empty Your Bowels Every Morning



Guthealthwellness



A Teaspoon On An Empty Stomach Burns 12 Lbs Of Fat A Week Safely!

Nootrex Supreme Keto Burn



Little River Dental Implants for Seniors Paid by Medicare

Branded Links

Citing extensive data to support their case, Happer and Lindzen argue that the claims used by the EPA to justify the new regulations are not based on scientific facts but rather political opinions, globalist narratives, and speculative models that have consistently proven to be wrong.

"The unscientific method of analysis, relying on consensus, peer review, government opinion, models that do not work, cherry-picking data and omitting voluminous contradictory data, is commonly employed in these studies and by the EPA in the Proposed Rule," Happer and Lindzen testified.

"None of the studies provides scientific knowledge, and thus none provides any scientific support for the Proposed Rule."

"All of the models that predict catastrophic global warming fail the key test of the scientific method: they grossly overpredict the warming versus actual data," they stated.

Slay the latest News for free!

Email Address *

SUBSCRIBE

We don't spam! Read our [privacy policy](#) for more info.

"The scientific method proves there is no risk that fossil fuels and carbon dioxide will cause catastrophic warming and extreme weather."

Climate models like the ones that the EPA is using have been consistently wrong for decades in predicting actual outcomes, Happer warns.

However, such fearmongering data serves well when it comes to pushing the public to comply with unpopular policies.

"That was already an embarrassment in the '90s when I was director of energy research in the U.S. Department of Energy," he said.

[Donate to Slay News](#)

Help to keep Slay News independent by donating today! All donations go directly to supporting our fearless journalism.

\$ **100**

\$ 10 \$ 25 \$ 50
\$ 100 \$ 250 Custom Amount

Make this donation monthly

Secure Donation

RUN A PRO-AMERICA COMPANY?

JOIN THE FIGHT - DONATE TO SLAY NEWS TODAY!

"I was funding a lot of this work, and I knew very well then that the models were overpredicting the warming by a huge amount."

He and his colleague argued that the EPA has grossly overstated the harm from CO₂ emissions while ignoring the benefits of CO₂ to life on Earth.

Many who have fought against EPA climate regulations have done so by arguing what is called the "major questions doctrine."

The argument is that the EPA does not have the authority to invent regulations that have such an enormous impact on Americans without clear direction from Congress.



Wayfair Summer Party Decoration Online - Season of Sales

www.wayfair.ca/-/-

Happer and Lindzen, however, have taken a different tack.

They argue that the EPA regulations fail the "State Farm" test because they are "arbitrary and capricious."

"Time and again, courts have applied 'State Farm's' principles to invalidate agency rules where the agency failed to consider an important aspect of the problem, or cherry-picked data to support a pre-ordained conclusion," they stated.

The case they referred to is the 2003 case of State Farm v. Campell ([pdf](#)), in which the Supreme Court argued that "a State can have no legitimate interest in deliberately making the law so arbitrary that citizens will be unable to avoid punishment based solely upon bias or whim."⁵

According to Happer and Lindzen's testimony, "600 million years of CO₂ and temperature data contradict the theory that high levels of CO₂ will cause



Forget The Blue Pill, Use This House Food To Fight ED
urologytip.pro

PROMOTED CONTENT 



Shameless T-Shirts People Wore In Public

Lulu Bloom



catastrophic global warming."

They present CO₂ and temperature data indicating much higher levels of both CO₂ and temperatures than today, with little correlation between the two.



AUDIEN ATOM
Lab-Designed Anatomical Hearing Aid For Only \$99

[LEARN MORE](#)

recommended by mgid

They also argue that current CO₂ levels are historically at a low point.

"The often highly emphasized 140 [parts per million] increase in CO₂ since the beginning of the Industrial Age is trivial compared to CO₂ changes over the geological history of life on Earth," they stated.

In addition, the scientists' testimony to the EPA stated that the agency's emissions rules fail to consider the fact that CO₂ and fossil fuels are essential to life on earth, particularly human life.

"Increased levels of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere create more food for people worldwide, including more food for people in drought-stricken areas," they stated.

"Increases in carbon dioxide over the past two centuries since the Industrial Revolution, from about 280 parts per million to about 420 ppm, caused an approximate 20 percent increase in the food available to people worldwide, as well as increased greening of the planet and a benign warming in temperature."

More CO₂ in the atmosphere leads to more plant growth and higher farming yields, they argued.

In addition, synthetic fertilizers, which are derivatives of natural gas, are responsible for nearly half the world's food production today.

5

"Net zero" goals would reduce CO₂ emissions by more than 40 gigatons per year, reducing the food supply proportionally, they said.

Shameless T-Shirts People Wore In Public

Lulu Bloom



Shameless T-Shirts People Wore In Public

Lulu Bloom



Lab-Designed Anatomical Hearing Aid For Only \$99

Audien Atom



TAP HERE TO JOIN SLAY ON TRUTH.

In addition to disregarding the benefits of CO₂, they stated that the EPA's emission rules and the "global warming" narrative that has been used to justify them are based on a hoax.

In addition to teaching physics at Princeton, Happer's decades of work in physics has focused on atmospheric radiation and atmospheric turbulence, and his inventions have been used by astronomers and in national defense.

"Radiation in the atmosphere is my specialty and I know more about it than, I would guess, any climate scientists," Happer said.

His expertise, he said, "involves much of the same physics that's involved in climate, and none of it is very alarming."

The "global warming" narrative argues that as people burn fossil fuels, they emit higher concentrations of carbon dioxide into the Earth's atmosphere, which absorbs sunlight and creates a "greenhouse effect," trapping the Sun's radiation and warming the Earth.

But Happer warns that one aspect of CO₂ emissions that "global warming" models fail to take into account is a phenomenon called "saturation," or the diminishing effect of CO₂ in the atmosphere at higher concentrations.

"At the current concentrations of CO₂, around 400 parts per million, it decreases the radiation to space by about 30 percent, compared to what you would have if you took it all away," Happer said.

"So that's enough to cause quite a bit of warming of the Earth, and thank God for that; it helps make the Earth habitable, along with the effects of water vapor and clouds."

"But if you could double the amount of CO₂ from 400 to 800, and that will take a long time, the amount that you decrease radiation to space is only one percent," Happer said.

"Very few people realize how hard it is for additional carbon dioxide to make a difference to the radiation to space."

"That's what's called saturation, and it's been well-known for a century."

In addition to scientific arguments about why global warming is overblown, the scientists also cite data showing large discrepancies between global warming models and actual observations.

Get Our Free Newsletter

Slay the latest News for free!

Email Address *

SUBSCRIBE

We don't spam! Read our privacy policy for more info.

TAP HERE TO JOIN US ON
TELEGRAM

Editors Choice



Named & Shamed: 51 Big Companies That Hate Conservatives



World Government Summit: 'Shock' Needed To Usher In 'World Order Transformation'



Klaus Schwab's World Economic

In some cases, Happer and Lindzen say, data has been disingenuously manipulated to fit the climate-change narrative.

"The most striking example of that is the temperature record," Happer said.

"If you look at the temperature records that were published 20 years ago, they showed very clearly that in the United States by far the warmest years we had were during the mid-1930s."

"If you look at the data today, that is no longer true," he said.

"People in charge of that data, or what the public sees, have gradually reduced the temperatures of the '30s, then increased the temperature of more recent measurements."

Misleading data is often used by the EPA as proof of "global warming," Happer and Lindzen note.

According to the renowned climate scientists, the government uses data that appears to show "daily temperatures."

However, the EPA is actually showing a "ratio" of daily record highs to lows.

The use of this data in fearmongering charts is "designed to create the impression that temperatures are steadily rising," they warn.

By contrast, the scientists confirm that historical data indicates significantly higher temperatures in the 1930s versus today.

Proponents of the global warming narrative often state that it is "settled science" and that nearly all scientists agree that global warming is real and the result of human activity.

According to an official NASA statement, "the vast majority of actively publishing climate scientists—97 percent—agree that humans are causing global warming and climate change."

"Most of the leading science organizations around the world have issued public statements expressing this, including international and U.S. science academies, the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, and a whole host of reputable scientific bodies around the world."⁵

A report by Cornell University states that "more than 99.9% of peer-reviewed scientific papers agree that climate change is mainly caused by humans,

Forum: The New Fascism



Banning Internal Combustion Vehicles Shows Contempt For Rural America

Trending



2 Insane Card Interest Until 2025

CompareCards.com

READERS' POLL

Who is the best president?

- Biden
- Trump
- Obama

Email

Vote

By completing this poll, you gain access to our free newsletter. Unsubscribe at any time.

PROMOTED CONTENT



according to a new survey of 88,125 climate-related studies."

But Happer argues that consensus is not science.

A Teaspoon On An Empty Stomach Burns 12 Lbs Of Fat A Week Safely!
Nootrex Supreme Keto Burn

Happer cites a lecture on the scientific method by renowned physicist Richard Feynman, who said, "If it disagrees with experiment, it's wrong."

"Science has never been made by consensus," Happer said.

"The way you decide something is true in science is you compare it with experiment or observations.

"It doesn't matter if there's a consensus; it doesn't matter if a Nobel Prize winner says it's true, if it disagrees with observations, it's wrong," he said.

"And that's the situation with climate models.

"They are clearly wrong because they don't agree with observations."

The National Library of Medicine cites a speech by physician and author Michael Crichton at the California Institute of Technology in 2003 in which he said, "Consensus is the business of politics."

"Science, on the contrary, requires only one investigator who happens to be right, which means that he or she has results that are verifiable by reference to the real world," Dr. Crichton said.

"In science, consensus is irrelevant.

"What are relevant are reproducible results."

"The initial predictions of climate disasters had New York flooded by now, no ice left at the North Pole, England would be like Siberia by now," Happer said.

"Nothing that they predicted actually came true.

"You have to do something to keep the money coming in, so they changed 'global warming' to 'climate change.'"

The ~~warnings~~ from Happer and Lindzen come as several top scientists have started to speak out against the green agenda.

As Slay News recently reported, one of the world's most influential climate scientists has blown the whistle with an explosive admission by warning the public that claims of a "crisis" related to so-called "global warming" are "manufactured."

Judith A. Curry is an American climatologist and former chair of the School of Earth and Atmospheric Sciences at the Georgia Institute of Technology.

For years, Curry has been one of the leading voices warning that the Earth is facing an "emergency" due to allegedly "man-made climate change."

However, Dr. Curry, a renowned climatologist, has just admitted that the fearmongering "science" has been fabricated in order to scare the public into believing we must fight a "climate emergency."

"It's a manufactured consensus," Curry told the New York Post.

As Slay News reported last month, Dr. John Clauser, the co-winner of the 2022 Nobel Physics prize and one the world's leading authorities on quantum mechanics, has also spoken out to warn the public that the "climate crisis" narrative being pushed by the global elite and their allies in the corporate media is a hoax.

He blasted "climate emergency" claims as a "dangerous corruption of science that threatens the world's economy and the well-being of billions of people."

Clauser, who was also awarded the 2010 Wolf Prize in Physics, the second most prestigious physics award after the Nobel, warns that misguided climate science is a hoax that is being driven by "massive shock-journalistic pseudoscience."

These views are also echoed by renowned environmentalist Dr. Patrick Moore, a co-founder of Greenpeace.

Moore is also warning the public that the anti-carbon dioxide narrative is a scam, as Slay News previously reported.

Moore,  an ecologist and environmental activist for more than 50 years, argues that an increased level of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is beneficial.

According to Moore, claims that "climate change" is "human-caused" are "propaganda" which he describes as "dangerous."

READ MORE: 14 U.S Cities Planning to Ban Meat, Dairy, Private Cars by 2030**SHARE:**[Donate to Slay News](#)

Help to keep Slay News independent by donating today! All donations go directly to supporting our fearless journalism.

\$

100

\$ 10

\$ 25

\$ 50

\$ 100

\$ 250


Custom Amount



Make this donation monthly

[Continue >](#) Secure Donation**RUN A PRO-AMERICA COMPANY?**

5



#Bill Gates

#Climate Change

#Climate Crisis

#Green Agenda

#John Kerry

#World Economic Forum



PROMOTED CONTENT



A Teaspoon On An Empty Stomach Burns 12 Lbs Of Fat A Week Safely!

NOOTREX SUPREME KETO BURN



 ActiveBeat 

[Learn More](#) 

[Red Flag Signs of Arthritis Many May Not Be Aware Of](#)

Little River: Unwanted Furniture Removals - Click Here To Learn More!

SEARCH ADS



Fighting Neuropathy? This New Device Is Leaving Experts Baffled

NOORO



Little River: Unsold Jewelry On Clearance Sale. See The List!

SEARCH ADS



2023's Best Teeth Whitening Toothpastes Revealed (See List)

SEARCH ADS

Ears Ringing? When Tinnitus Won't Stop, Do This (It's Genius)

QUIETUM PLUS




Gymnast Somersault Caught At The Perfect Moment



Lab-Designed Anatomical Hearing Aid For Only \$99

AUDIEN ATOM



Before A Heart Attack, Your Body Will Warn You With These Signals

SEARCH ADS



Avoid These Bottled Water Brands At All Costs!

RTBS OFFER



This Is What Full Mouth Dental Implants Should Cost You In 2023

SEARCH ADS



Atopic Dermatitis? Strategies To Minimize Discomfort

SEARCH ADS



Here's A Secret On How To Clean The Drain (Click Here)

SEARCH ADS

Manage Atopic Dermatitis With Specialized Care

SEARCH ADS

TAP HERE TO JOIN US ON
 TELEGRAM

READERS' POLL

Who is the best president?

Biden

5

Trump

Obama

Email

Vote

By completing this poll, you gain access to our free newsletter. Unsubscribe at any time.



By Frank Bergman

Frank Bergman is a political/economic journalist living on the east coast.

Aside from news reporting, Bergman also conducts interviews with researchers and material experts and investigates influential individuals and organizations in the sociopolitical world.



PREVIOUS POST

[Video Explains Media Blackout on Trump Driving Through Poor Neighborhood on Way to Fulton County](#)

NEXT POST

[Ilhan Omar Accused of Taking Bribes from Foreign Nationals](#)



Slay News has come under attack from spammers and radical activists who seek to disrupt our growing community.

5 Because of this, we have limited those who can access our comments section to registered users.

Those who have already registered with Slay News accounts may be prompted to log in again.

Those who were previously commenting as guests or logging in with Disqus or Facebook credentials will need to create a new account with Slay News using the link below (takes under a minute).

Please **log in to your account here** or **register a new account here**.

 [Subscribe ▾](#)

[Login](#)

Please login to comment



Categories

Edit Profile	Culture
Register	Economy
Support Us	Entertainment
Advertising	News
Privacy Policy	Opinion
Terms of Use	Politics
Slay News Editorial Standards	
Contact Us	
About Slay News	
Log in	

Tags

2024	Biden
Big News	
Big Tech	Border
Business	
Censorship	
Chicago	
Children	China
Chinese Communist Party	
Climate Change	
CNN	Crime
Democrats	
DeSantis	
Dies Suddenly	
Economy	
Elon Musk	Fauci
FBI	Florida

Contact Info

Please contact us at the below detail if you have any suggestions or feedback.
 contact@slaynews.com
 63 Us Hwy #21 Jonesville, North Carolina(NC), 28642
 9:00AM 6:00PM Sun - Fri



Fox News

Hillary Clinton

Hollywood

Hunter Biden

Inflation Jan 6

Joe Biden

Media

Midterms News

Propaganda

Republicans

Russia Sport

Texas

Transgender

Trump

Tucker Carlson

Twitter Ukraine

Vaccines woke

World Economic
Forum

2016-2023 Slay News. All Right Reserved.