

Vaccinated people are over 2X more likely to get COVID than the unvaccinated. Whoops!

We are told to get vaccinated to reduce the risk of being infected. But the numbers aren't working out for them. How can they explain this survey?



STEVE KIRSCH
AUG 27, 2023



836



456

Share

Executive summary

According to [the survey I just did of over 16,000 people](#), fully vaccinated people are more than 2X as likely to get COVID than the unvaccinated.

What I found was fascinating is that if you did get COVID, the probability of being hospitalized was identical in the two groups.

My [survey results are publicly viewable](#) and all the entries can be verified (I have the contact info for each respondent). Happy to provide to any mainstream media fact checker.

Bottom line: The CDC lied, people died. If you disagree, please post your row-level data survey results.


The results

I am writing this at the 16,736 mark in the [database](#). The database may be gamed after this.

The results were stunningly self-consistent. If there is a significant bias, I can't find it.

Here are some charts plotted from the pivot tables:

Number of COVID infections vs. overall vaccination level



Discover more from Steve Kirsch's newsletter

I write about COVID mitigation policies, vaccines, neurological diseases and conditions, corruption, censorship, and early treatments. The data shows that vaccines are ruining the health of Americans and driving the epidemic in neurological conditions.

Over 229,000 subscribers

Type your email...

Subscribe

Continue reading >

Sign in

COVID shots



ported. If you are totally ID (top graph, blue bar in to do, then it was 2x less the "very high" bar).

You'll notice that the unvaccinated have the greatest blue area (0 COVID infections).

Here's a snapshot of the numbers:

Vaccine vs. num COVID

+ Add an extension ...

Pivot table

		# COVID								
		Empty	0 (None)	1	2	3	4	5	6 or more	Total
vax. level	No vaccines at all	11	1609	1926	728	160	26	2	1	4463
	Low: 25% or fewer of availab...	10	2104	3034	989	212	19	10	3	6381
	Medium: 25% to 75% of shots	3	811	1705	723	140	12	4		3398
	High: 75% to 90%	1	368	790	365	70	15	5	2	1616
	Very high: 90% or more		183	478	227	49	8	2	1	948
Total		25	5075	7933	3032	631	80	23	7	16806

Let's drill into the number of 0 COVID infections for the unvaccinated vs.

Let's compare the "No vaccines at all" vs. the "High" and "Very high" groups. If I choose just Very high, the vaccine looks even worse, so I'm trying to be as accommodating as possible.

The 2x2 matrix looks like this:

[11+1609, 4463-(11+1609)]

[1+368+183, 948+1616 - (1+368+183)]

The bottom line is:

- Odds Ratio: 2.0769548394989985
- Confidence Interval: (1.8565010966269044, 2.323586780075697)
- Z-Score: 12.766715746271233
- P-Value: 4.0149631491125137e-39

Something caused the unvaccinated to be 2X less likely to get COVID. I wonder what it is. Something the unvaccinated *all* have in common. Hmmm....

It is amazing that nobody who believes in the vaccine will do their own survey, isn't it? Now you know why.

If you got COVID, your risk of hospitalization is exactly the same regardless of your vaccination status.

So the COVID vaccinated were never less likely to be hospitalized. That was a lie.

▼ Vaccine vs. num COVID + Add an extension ...

Pivot table ▼ 🔍 🔄 📄

		vax level					Total
		No vaccines at all	Low: 25% or fewer of available vax opportunities	Medium: 25% to 75% of shots	High: 75% to 90%	Very high: 90% or more	
# Hospitalized	Empty	1623	2129	820	372	184	5128
	0 (None)	2745	4149	2518	1212	747	11371
	1	74	80	44	26	14	238
	2	2	5	2			9
	3 or more	3	1	2			8
	Total	4447	6364	3386	1610	947	16754

Here's how to do the math:

Risk of hospitalization for the unvaxxed: $74/4447 = .0166$

Risk of hospitalization for the heavily vaxxed (right two columns, select row for 1 hospitalization): $(26+14)/(947+1610) = .0156$

These are essentially the same, a tad higher for the unvaccinated (which is a surprise but that's what the data shows). But since the unvaxxed are 2x less likely to get COVID in the first place, you want to be unvaccinated, no question.


Note: It is amazing to me how the pro-vax people will claim my results are useless, but if it finds some data favorable to the vaccine, that data is legit (and the rest is not). We'll see what happens

here... if they say the survey is legit, they cannot cherry pick the parts they like. All or nothing.

My Twitter surveys were similar

The unvaccinated were more likely to have fewer cases of COVID than the vaccinated.

My Twitter followers are nearly 90% COVID unvaccinated. But the questions are directed to vaccinated and unvaccinated followers:



The two surveys below were the most stunning. One is with the unvaccinated, the other is with the vaccinated. What's stunning is the relative heights of the first two bars relative to the third bar. This is consistent with the Substack survey... The unvaccinated are less likely to have COVID than the vaccinated.

Look at the survey above... the FULLY unvaccinated (unvaccinated for all vaccines) did even better than the COVID unvaccinated below (although the question was a big ambiguous, you have to assume it was read both ways and was a mix of the no COVID and no all vaccine group).

So both the Substack and Twitter surveys were large and **the data clearly showed the unvaccinated were better off.**



Where is their survey showing I’m wrong?

I am still waiting to see the data on their side. They seem to want to keep it hidden. No local, state, or federal will ever release the vax-death records. Do you think they might be hiding something?

Fact checker notice

And fact checkers are always welcome to check all 16,000+ responses. The survey was done in <24 hours.

Nobody knew what my objective was; I just asked how many times they had COVID.

Bottom line

The CDC lied; people died.

In general:

- The vaccines in general **make you 2X as likely to get COVID.**
- If you do get COVID (which is half as likely for the unvaccinated), the COVID **vaccines do NOTHING to reduce your risk of hospitalization.** They are lying about that. This is why no state or country in the world will ever release their vaccine-death record level data. If the data was good, they’d be making it mandatory for everyone to download it. They would be teaching it in schools.

- The vaccines will increase your risk of dying from COVID if you do get COVID by up to 3x. My analysis on the CMS Nursing home data shows that clearly. The odd-ratio of 2 months post COVID vaccine (to give it a chance to be administered and work) vs. just before the vaccine rollout, shows an OR of over 3 with a z-score over 21. Stay tuned for that one in a Substack coming up soon!

So do not get vaccinated unless you are trying to die. OK?

As always, if you disagree, post your survey record-level data and analysis. Or show us your analysis of [my data](#) showing it is invalid.

Please forward this to your friends and save a life or two.



836 Likes · 44 Restacks

456 Comments



Write a comment...



Jimychanga 10 hrs ago

What is the "Nuremberg Principle"?

Your Pharmacist, Doctor, Boss, Media Shill, bear Personal Responsibility

https://open.substack.com/pub/jimychanga/p/what-is-the-nuremberg-principle?r=3vm3f&utm_campaign=post&utm_medium=web

♡ LIKE ◻ REPLY ...



Ann Aug 30

And now this:

https://www.theepochtimes.com/health/pfizer-documents-show-covid-19-vaccines-contain-potentially-harmful-modified-rna-not-mrna-5478674?utm_source=ccpvnoe&src_src=ccpvnoe&utm_campaign=2023-08-26&src_cmp=2023-08-26&utm_medium=email&est=Dsq%2B%2B%2F7MMTfxp%2BB24C5BXwIQkHCqqGoXmEOZBJ%2FtkXIde%2Be26H8U9UEpoosdqmEiw%3D%3D

It just gets worse!

♡ LIKE ◻ REPLY ...

454 more comments...

