

WHO's Fooling Who? The one who gives the orders is the sovereign.

Tedros slams us for lies but it's all projection: here's the documentary proof it is the WHO that is lying



MERYL NASS

FEB 12, 2024



176



42



29

Share



The WHO lawyers are trying to play us, saying the nations are sovereign because they still make the laws. What the WHO omits saying is that under the Treaty and proposed IHR Amendments, nations will be forced to pass the laws that the WHO tells them to pass. Examples of this and other word games below.

TEDROS: W.H.O. PANDEMIC TREATY 'AFFIRMS NATIONAL SOVEREIGNTY'; OPPONENTS 'UNINFORMED OR LYING'








[f](#) 8 [X TWEET](#) [EMAIL](#) [TRUTH](#)



FABRICE COFFRINI/AFP via Getty Images

by [FRANCES MARTEL](#) | 12 Feb 2024 | **85**

What are the biggest problems with the treaty and new IHR?

-  **Free speech will be gone:** nations must surveil, censor and propagandize their citizens with the WHO's narratives (Art. 44 IHR and PT)
-  **Obtaining the medications or vitamins you want or refusing the vaccines you don't want may become impossible** (Arts. 18 IHR and 43 PT)
-  **Travel restrictions, border closures, contact tracing, quarantines, forced examinations, vaccines and treatments can be imposed.** (Art.18 IHR)
-  **Loss of Privacy:** your medical records and specimens taken from you, animals and your environment. Your social media content screened and restricted.
-  **"Potential pandemic pathogens" are to be sought and shared with the WHO and globally, virtually guaranteeing more pandemics** (IHR and PT)
-  **WHO gets a blank check to do what it wants and spend what it wants, because the deciding 'Conference of Parties' has not yet been established** (PT)
-  **"One Health" extends the range of what the WHO can control to include the entire planet (humans, animals, plants, ecosystems)** (PT)

The word "shall" (meaning nations must obey the WHO) is included in the IHR amendments 168 times



LII Legal Information Institute

About LII ▶ Get the law ▶ Lawyer directory

LII > Wex > shall

shall

Shall is an imperative command, usually indicating that certain actions are mandatory, and not permissive. This contrasts with the word "may," which is generally used to indicate a permissive provision, ordinarily implying some degree of discretion.

<https://www.law.cornell.edu/wex/shall>

Article 43 "Additional health measures" is where the plan to restrict medications during future pandemics is hidden, using coded language

Article 43 Additional health measures

4. After assessing information and public health rationale provided pursuant to paragraph 3, 3bis and 5 of this Article and other relevant information within two weeks, WHO shall make recommendations to the State Party concerned to modify or rescind the application of the additional health measures in case of finding such measures as disproportionate or excessive.

The Director General shall convene an Emergency Committee for the purposes of this paragraph.

https://apps.who.int/gb/wgihhr/pdf_files/wgihhr2/A_WGIHR2_7-en.pdf

Censorship was quietly slipped into Article 44 ("Collaboration and Assistance") -- the WHO will promptly assist states in surveilling and censoring their citizens

Article 44 Collaboration and assistance

2. WHO shall collaborate with and promptly assist States Parties, in particular developing countries upon request, to the extent possible, in:

(e) (New) countering the dissemination of false and unreliable information about public health events, preventive and anti-epidemic measures and activities in the media, social networks and other ways of disseminating such information;

People claim the IHR cannot be enforced. But the new IHR wants a National Focal Point and National Competent Authority in each country that will be responsible and accountable for implementing the WHO's orders.

Article 4 Responsible authorities

1bis. In addition, each State Party should inform WHO about the establishment of its National Competent Authority responsible for overall implementation of the IHR that will be recognized and held accountable for the NFP's functionality and the delivery of other IHR obligations.

NEW (1bis) States Parties shall / ALT may enact or adapt legislation to provide National IHR Focal Points with the authority and resources to perform their functions, clearly defining the tasks and function of then entity with a role of National IHR Focal Point in implementing the obligations under these Regulations.

Expanding the WHO's Authority:

- * Currently, the IHR are limited to responding to public health risks.
- * Amended, the WHO will be able to respond to **all risks** that have the **potential to affect public health**
- * This could include non-medical risks like food choices, biodiversity or climate change.

Article 2 Scope and purpose

The purpose and scope of these Regulations are to prevent, protect against, **prepare**, control and provide a public health response to the international spread of diseases **including through health systems readiness and resilience** in ways that are commensurate with and restricted to **public health risk all risks with a potential to impact public health** and which avoid unnecessary interference with international traffic and trade, **livelihoods, human rights, and equitable access to health products and health care technologies and know how.**

Articles 19, 21 and 23 of the WHO Constitution reveal that the WHO has very limited scope to issue regulations. The proposed amendments to the Regulations exceed WHO's authority, and must therefore be part of a treaty/convention/agreement--requiring a 2/3 vote by the WHO member states, not a simple majority.

<https://apps.who.int/gb/bd/PDF/bd47/EN/constitution-en.pdf>

Article 19

The Health Assembly shall have authority to adopt conventions or agreements with respect to any matter within the competence of the Organization. ~~A two-thirds~~ vote of the Health Assembly shall be required for the adoption of such conventions or agreements, which shall come into force for each Member when accepted by it in accordance with its constitutional processes.

Article 21

The Health Assembly shall have authority to adopt regulations concerning:

- (a) sanitary and quarantine requirements and other procedures designed to prevent the international spread of disease;
- (b) nomenclatures with respect to diseases, causes of death and public health practices;
- (c) standards with respect to diagnostic procedures for international use;
- (d) standards with respect to the safety, purity and potency of biological, pharmaceutical and similar products moving in international commerce;
- (e) advertising and labelling of biological, pharmaceutical and similar products moving in international commerce.

Article 23

The Health Assembly shall have authority to make recommendations to Members with respect to any matter within the competence of the Organization.

First the treaty tells you that unhindered, timely access to information is a general principle. Then it adds the caveat that transparency means open access to "accurate" information.

Deliberate Contradictions re: Information Access

Article 1. Use of terms

- (c) "infodemic" means too much information, false or misleading information, in digital and physical environments during a disease outbreak. It causes confusion and risk-taking behaviours that can harm health. It also leads to mistrust in health authorities and undermines public health and social measures;

Article 3. General principles and approaches

3. **Equity** – Equity is at the centre of pandemic prevention, preparedness and response, both at the national level within States and at the international level between States. It requires, inter alia, specific measures to protect persons in vulnerable situations. Equity includes the unhindered, fair, equitable and timely access to safe, effective, quality and affordable pandemic-related products and services, information, pandemic-related technologies and social protection.
7. **Transparency** – The effective prevention of, preparedness for and response to pandemics depends on the transparent, open and timely sharing of, access to and disclosure of accurate information, data and other relevant elements that may come to light, for risk assessment, prevention and control measures, and the research and development of pandemic-related products and services, including reports on sales revenues, prices, units sold, marketing costs and subsidies and incentives, consistent with national, regional and international privacy and data protection rules, regulations and laws.

Then a few pages later the treaty demands that nations perform “infodemic management”—which requires not only censorship, but also surveillance of everyone’s social media footprint, so the nation will know who and what to censor. This violates both the First and Fourth Amendments to the US Constitution.

Not only that, but the censorship should be performed with international collaboration—so all nations can target the same misinformation spreaders and there will be nowhere to hide. Finally, they want to make sure you Trust the(ir) Science.

After noting the importance of unhindered access to information, the treaty draft directs nations to "manage" information, combat "misinformation" and promote "trust in science"

Article 9. Research and development

2. To this end, the Parties shall promote:

(d) knowledge translation and evidence-based communication tools, strategies and partnerships relating to pandemic prevention, preparedness and response, including **infodemic management**, at local, national, regional and international levels.

Article 18. Communication and public awareness

1. The Parties shall strengthen science, public health and pandemic literacy in the population, as well as access to information on pandemics and their effects and drivers, and combat false, misleading, misinformation or disinformation, including through effective international collaboration and cooperation as referred to in Article 16 herein.

2. The Parties shall, as appropriate, conduct research and inform policies on factors that hinder adherence to public health and social measures in a pandemic and trust in science and public health institutions.

Below, the treaty is forced to admit that the so-called sovereignty that Tedros claims we will retain—the ability to pass laws—will in fact be subject to the orders of the WHO.

The WHO treaty draft requires that every nation pass laws to legalize Emergency Use Authorizations, so that unlicensed vaccines can be given to populations during a WHO-declared pandemic. You know, like a Monkeypox pandemic. There are no standards required and the WHO Director-General can declare any pandemic whenever he wants. Then the needles come out.

Nations are required to pass laws to enable a rapid license or authorization (use without proper review) of "pandemic products," i.e., vaccines or drugs

Article 14. Regulatory strengthening

5. Each Party shall take steps to ensure that it has the legal, administrative and financial frameworks in place to support emergency regulatory approvals for the effective and timely regulatory approval of pandemic-related products during a pandemic.

6. Each Party shall, in accordance with relevant laws, encourage manufacturers to generate relevant data, contribute to the development of common technical documents, and diligently pursue regulatory authorizations and/or approvals of pandemic-related products with WHO listed authorities, other priority authorities and WHO.

The WHO also demands that nations pass the laws needed to remove all liability from these untested and potentially deadly vaccines. Who's sovereign now?

The Pandemic Treaty made sure to remove all liability for injuries caused by 'Warp Speed' vaccines. The Treaty says it 3 different ways.

Article 15. Compensation and liability management

1. Each Party shall develop national strategies for managing liability risks in its territory regarding the manufacturing, distribution, administration and use of novel vaccines developed in response to pandemics. Strategies may include, inter alia, the development of model contract provisions, vaccine injury compensation mechanisms, insurance mechanisms, policy frameworks and principles for the

negotiation of procurement agreements and/or the donation of novel vaccines developed in response to pandemics, and building expertise for contract negotiations in this matter.

2. The Conference of the Parties shall establish, within two years of the entry into force of the WHO Pandemic Agreement, using existing relevant models as a reference, no-fault vaccine injury compensation mechanism(s), with the aim of promoting access to financial remedy for individuals experiencing serious adverse events resulting from a pandemic vaccine, as well as more generally promoting pandemic vaccine acceptance. The Conference of the Parties shall further develop the mechanism(s), which may be regional and/or international, including strategies for funding the mechanism(s), through the modalities provided for in Article 20 herein.

3. Each Party shall endeavour to ensure that, in contracts for the supply or purchase of novel pandemic vaccines, buyer/recipient indemnity clauses, if any, are exceptionally provided and are time-bound.

https://apps.who.int/gb/inb/pdf_files/inb7/A_INB7_3-en.pdf. Pages 20-21

So you see, the WHO has just played a bunch of word games and they intended for us to be the suckers and go along, ignorant. So long as the Treaty and IHR Amendments still let nations make laws, the WHO insists on calling us sovereign. But the real sovereign is the one ordering that laws be passed. That's the real power. Why would anyone give that up to the WHO, especially when its Director-General is a puppet for Bill Gates, is not a real doctor, and has been accused of withholding food and hiding 3 cholera epidemics to kill members of competing tribes in his native Ethiopia, when he was the #3 top official there? Do you really think he cares about your health during a pandemic?



Cornell Law School

LII Legal Information Institute

About LII ▶ Get the law ▶ Lawyer director

LII > [Wex](#) > **sovereignty**

sovereignty

Sovereignty is a political concept that refers to dominant power or supreme authority. In a monarchy, supreme power resides in the "sovereign", or king. In modern democracies, sovereign power rests with the people and is exercised through representative bodies such as Congress or Parliament. The Sovereign is the one who exercises power without limitation. Sovereignty is essentially the power to make laws, even as [Blackstone](#) defined it. The term also carries implications of autonomy; to have sovereign power is to be beyond the power of others to interfere.

So you can wait idly by as these treaties move ahead, or you can say 'Hell No!' and put on your big girl panties and decide you have finally had enough. Which will it be?



176 Likes · 29 Restacks

42 Comments



Write a comment...



Blair Darkness to Light Feb 12 Liked by Meryl Nass

I hope people truly start to understand that the world these so-called leaders envision for us is a world no one would want to live in.

LIKE (25) REPLY SHARE ...

2 replies



Dana Ullman, MPH, CCH Dana Ullman's Homeopathy, Nanom... Feb 12

Dr Nass have PROVEN "bad faith" from the WHO's Tedros by proving again and again Tedros lies through his teeth and projects his own pathological lying onto others.

It is time that WE created our list of "Big Pharma shills," and Tedros is #1 on this list.

LIKE (14) REPLY SHARE ...

40 more comments...